



University of
Zurich^{UZH}

Zurich Open Repository and
Archive

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Search for low-mass resonances decaying into bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

CMS Collaboration ; Canelli, Maria Florencia ; Kilminster, Benjamin ; Aarrestad, Thea K ; Brzhechko, Danyyl ; Caminada, Lea ; de Cosa, Annapaoloa ; Del Burgo, Riccardo ; Donato, Silvio ; Galloni, Camilla ; Hreus, Tomas ; Leontsinis, Stefanos ; Mikuni, Vinicius Massami ; Neutelings, Izaak ; Rauco, Giorgia ; Robmann, Peter ; Salerno, Daniel ; Schweiger, Korbinian ; Seitz, Claudia ; Takahashi, Yuta ; Wertz, Sebastien ; Zucchetta, Alberto ; et al

Abstract: A search for narrow, low-mass, scalar, and pseudoscalar resonances decaying to bottom quark-antiquark pairs is presented. The search is based on events recorded in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collisions with the CMS detector at the LHC, collected in 2016, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . The search selects events in which the resonance would be produced with high transverse momentum because of the presence of initial- or final-state radiation. In such events, the decay products of the resonance would be reconstructed as a single large-radius jet with high mass and two-prong substructure. A potential signal would be identified as a narrow excess in the jet invariant mass spectrum. No evidence for such a resonance is observed within the mass range from 50 to 350 GeV, and upper limits at 95% confidence level are set on the product of the cross section and branching fraction to a bottom quark-antiquark pair. These constitute the first constraints from the LHC on exotic bottom quark-antiquark resonances with masses below 325 GeV.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.012005>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-180039>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

CMS Collaboration; Canelli, Maria Florencia; Kilminster, Benjamin; Aarrestad, Thea K; Brzhechko, Danyyl; Caminada, Lea; de Cosa, Annapaoloa; Del Burgo, Riccardo; Donato, Silvio; Galloni, Camilla; Hreus, Tomas; Leontsinis, Stefanos; Mikuni, Vinicius Massami; Neutelings, Izaak; Rauco, Giorgia; Robmann, Peter; Salerno, Daniel; Schweiger, Korbinian; Seitz, Claudia; Takahashi, Yuta; Wertz, Sebastien; Zucchetta, Alberto; et al (2019). Search for low-mass resonances decaying into bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Physical Review. D, Particles, fields, gravitation and cosmology, D99(1):012005.

Search for low-mass resonances decaying into bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*^{*}
(CMS Collaboration)



(Received 28 October 2018; published 9 January 2019)

A search for narrow, low-mass, scalar, and pseudoscalar resonances decaying to bottom quark-antiquark pairs is presented. The search is based on events recorded in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collisions with the CMS detector at the LHC, collected in 2016, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . The search selects events in which the resonance would be produced with high transverse momentum because of the presence of initial- or final-state radiation. In such events, the decay products of the resonance would be reconstructed as a single large-radius jet with high mass and two-prong substructure. A potential signal would be identified as a narrow excess in the jet invariant mass spectrum. No evidence for such a resonance is observed within the mass range from 50 to 350 GeV, and upper limits at 95% confidence level are set on the product of the cross section and branching fraction to a bottom quark-antiquark pair. These constitute the first constraints from the LHC on exotic bottom quark-antiquark resonances with masses below 325 GeV.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.99.012005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.012005)

I. INTRODUCTION

Many models of physics beyond the standard model (SM) require new particles that couple to quarks and gluons and can be observed as dijet resonances. One example is a model in which dark matter particles (χ) couple to SM particles through a spin-0 scalar (Φ) or pseudoscalar (A) mediator, which decays preferentially to a bottom quark-antiquark ($b\bar{b}$) pair [1–5]. As the mass of such a mediator is an unknown parameter of the model, it is important to search in as broad a mass range as possible.

Because of the overwhelming background of events from jets produced through the strong interaction, referred to as quantum chromodynamics (QCD) multijet events, inclusive searches for dijet resonances at the CERN LHC have historically been limited to dijet invariant masses greater than 1 TeV. Several techniques have been explored to evade this limitation. Trigger-level analyses, also known as “data scouting,” increase the number of events collected at lower dijet invariant masses by recording a minimal subset of the total event content. The ATLAS and CMS experiments have used this technique to search for resonances with masses as low as 450 GeV [6–9]. The invariant mass threshold can also be lowered by performing bottom quark tagging at the trigger

level, enabling masses as low as 325 GeV to be probed [10,11]. The analysis presented here uses a different technique, requiring that the dijet resonances be produced with significant initial- or final-state radiation. The technique has been employed in searches for low mass resonances decaying to quark-antiquark pairs [12–14], which have provided the best sensitivity to date for resonances with masses between 50 and 300 GeV. This technique has also been used to search for SM Higgs bosons (H) produced through gluon fusion and decaying to $b\bar{b}$ pairs [15], with an observed significance of 1.5 standard deviations.

This paper presents the first LHC search for new particles that decay to $b\bar{b}$ resonances with masses as low as 50 GeV. Spin-0 scalar and pseudoscalar resonances, which may mediate interactions between dark matter particles and SM particles, are considered. Minimal flavor violation is assumed, to ensure consistency with flavor constraints [1–5]. Under this assumption, the Φ or A particles decay only to fermion-antifermion pairs of the same flavor. Further, the SM couplings are assumed to be proportional to the SM Yukawa couplings with a single universal constant of proportionality, $g_{q\Phi}$ or g_{qA} . The two interaction Lagrangians are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi} = g_{\chi\Phi}\Phi\bar{\chi}\chi + \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_f g_{q\Phi}y_f\bar{f}f, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = ig_{\chi A}A\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\chi + \frac{iA}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_f g_{qA}y_f\bar{f}\gamma_5f, \quad (2)$$

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

where the sum is over all charged SM fermions, $g_{\chi\Phi}$ and $g_{\chi A}$ are the couplings to the dark matter particle, the Yukawa couplings of fermions y_f are normalized to the Higgs vacuum expectation value as $y_f = \sqrt{2}m_f/v$ with $v = 246$ GeV, and m_f the corresponding fermion mass. For resonance masses below twice the dark matter particle mass (m_χ), Φ and A couple preferentially to heavier quarks. Consequently, the resonances are predominantly produced via a loop-induced coupling to gluons, and, for resonance masses below twice the top quark mass (m_t), decay mostly to $b\bar{b}$ pairs. This search is also sensitive to extensions of the SM that include a new gauge boson that couples to the right-handed components of the bottom and charm quarks [16].

This paper reports the results of a search for narrow $b\bar{b}$ resonances with masses between 50 and 350 GeV in events collected in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton (pp) collisions with the CMS detector at the LHC. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . We search for resonances produced with high transverse momentum p_T because of significant initial- or final-state radiation (ISR or FSR). This ISR or FSR ensures the events pass stringent trigger restrictions set by bandwidth limitations, allowing resonance masses as low as 50 GeV to be probed. The resonance decay products are merged into a single wide jet. Two wide-jet algorithms are considered: the anti- k_T algorithm [17] with distance parameter $R = 0.8$ (AK8), and the Cambridge-Aachen algorithm [18,19] with distance parameter $R = 1.5$ (CA15). The AK8 algorithm provides better sensitivity at signal masses below 175 GeV, while the CA15 algorithm provides better sensitivity at higher masses because of the increased acceptance of decay products with wider angular separation [20]. Jet substructure [21] techniques and dedicated b tagging [22] algorithms are used to distinguish the signal from the QCD background.

II. THE CMS DETECTOR

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. A silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two end cap sections, reside within the solenoid. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and end cap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid.

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [23]. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a time interval of less than $4 \mu\text{s}$. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [24].

III. SIMULATED SAMPLES

Simulated samples of signal and background events are produced using various Monte Carlo (MC) event generators, with the CMS detector response modeled by GEANT4 [25]. The benchmark Φ and A signal events, produced primarily via gluon fusion, are simulated using the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.4.2 generator [26] for various mass hypotheses in the range 50–500 GeV. The events are generated with a parton-level filter requiring total hadronic transverse energy $H_T > 400$ GeV; events failing this requirement fall outside the acceptance of the analysis selection, discussed in the following section. Figure 1 shows representative one-loop Feynman diagrams producing a boosted jet originating from a $b\bar{b}$ pair (double- b jet).

In accordance with the recommendations of the ATLAS-CMS Dark Matter Forum [1] and the LHC Dark Matter Working Group [5], the Φ and A signal samples are normalized to their production cross sections at leading order (LO) accuracy calculated with the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.4.2 generator using the DMSIMP package [27]. The total




FIG. 1. One-loop Feynman diagrams of processes exchanging a scalar Φ (top) or pseudoscalar A (bottom) mediator, leading to a boosted double- b jet signature.

cross sections, which are compared to the upper limits obtained with this analysis, are calculated using the LO diagram with no additional partons and no cuts applied to the final state kinematics. The production cross section at next-to-leading order (NLO) accuracy including the finite m_t has only been calculated for a scalar with a mass of 125 GeV, where it is approximately a factor of 2 greater [28]. This NLO correction is not used in this analysis; applying it would not affect the sensitivity of the search to the signal production cross section, but it would improve the sensitivity to the couplings $g_{q\Phi}$ or g_{qA} by a factor of approximately $\sqrt{2}$.

The MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [26] generator is used for the diboson, W + jets, Z + jets, and QCD multijet samples, at LO accuracy with matching [29] between jets from the matrix element calculation and the parton shower description, while POWHEG 2.0 [30–32] at NLO precision is used to model the $t\bar{t}$ and single top processes. The Higgs boson signal samples are produced using the POWHEG+MiNLO [31,33] event generator with $m_H = 125$ GeV. For the gluon fusion production mode, the POWHEG generated sample with up to one extra jet in matrix element calculations is normalized to the inclusive cross section at next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order (N^3 LO) accuracy [34–37], with a p_T -dependent correction to account for the effects of the finite m_t and associated higher-order QCD corrections [15].

For parton showering and hadronization, the POWHEG and MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO samples are interfaced with PYTHIA 8.212 [38]. The PYTHIA parameters for the underlying event description are set to the CUETP8M1 tune [39]. The production cross sections for the diboson samples are calculated to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) accuracy with the MCFM 7.0 program [40]. The cross section for top quark pair production is computed with TOP++ 2.0 [41] at NNLO including soft-gluon resummation to next-to-next-to-leading-log order. The cross sections for W + jets and Z + jets samples include higher-order QCD and electroweak (EW) corrections improving the modeling of high- p_T W and Z bosons events [42–45]. The parton distribution function set NNPDF3.0 [46] is used to produce all simulated samples, with the accuracy (LO or NLO) corresponding to that of the matrix elements used for generation.

IV. EVENT RECONSTRUCTION AND SELECTION

Event reconstruction is based on a particle-flow algorithm [47], which aims to reconstruct and identify each individual particle with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. The algorithm identifies each reconstructed particle as an electron, a muon, a photon, or a charged or neutral hadron. The missing transverse momentum vector is defined as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all the particles identified in the event, and its magnitude is referred to as p_T^{miss} . Particles are clustered into AK8 [17] or CA15 [18] jets, depending on the signal mass hypothesis. The clustering

algorithms are implemented by the FASTJET package [48]. To mitigate the effect from the contributions of extraneous pp collisions (pileup), the pileup per particle identification algorithm [49] assigns a weight to each particle prior to jet clustering based on the likelihood of the particle to originate from the hard scattering vertex. Further corrections are applied to the jet energy as a function of jet η and p_T to bring the measured response of jets to that of particle level jets on average [50].

A combination of several event selection criteria is used to trigger on events, all imposing minimum thresholds either on H_T or on the AK8 jet p_T . In addition, a minimum threshold on the trimmed jet mass, where remnants of soft radiation are removed before computing the mass [51], is imposed to reduce the H_T or p_T thresholds and improve the signal acceptance. The trigger selection is greater than 95% efficient at selecting events with at least one AK8 jet with $p_T > 450$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$, and mass greater than 40 GeV or events with at least one CA15 jet with $p_T > 500$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. We also define six (five) p_T categories from 450 (500) GeV to 1 TeV for AK8 (CA15) jets with variable width from 50 to 200 GeV. To reduce backgrounds from SM EW processes, events containing isolated electrons [52] or muons [53], or hadronically decaying τ leptons with $p_T > 10, 10, \text{ or } 18$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5, 2.4, \text{ or } 2.3$, respectively, are vetoed. For electrons or muons, the isolation criteria require that the pileup-corrected sum of the p_T of charged hadrons and neutral particles surrounding the lepton divided by the lepton p_T be less than approximately 15% or 25%, respectively, depending on η [52,53]. Events with $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$ GeV are vetoed in order to reduce the top quark background contamination. For each event, the leading jet in p_T is assumed to be the $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ or $A(b\bar{b})$ candidate. The soft-drop algorithm [54] with angular exponent $\beta = 0$ is applied to the jet to remove soft and wide-angle radiation with a soft radiation fraction z less than 0.1. The parameter β controls the grooming profile as a function of subjet separation; when $\beta = 0$, the grooming threshold is independent of subjet separation, and the algorithm is equivalent to the modified mass-drop tagger [55]. For background QCD multijet events where large jet masses arise from soft gluon radiation, the soft-drop jet mass m_{SD} is reduced relative to the ungroomed jet mass. On the other hand, for signal events m_{SD} is primarily determined by the $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ decay kinematics, and the distribution peaks at the mass of the $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal.

Dedicated m_{SD} corrections are derived from a comparison of simulated and measured samples in a region enriched with merged $W(q\bar{q})$ decays from $t\bar{t}$ events [56]. The m_{SD} corrections remove a residual dependence on the jet p_T , and match the simulated jet mass scale and resolution to those observed in data. A lower m_{SD} bound of 40 GeV is applied in the search with AK8 jets to ensure that the trigger has greater than 95% efficiency, while a lower m_{SD} bound of 82 GeV is applied in the search with

TABLE I. The selection efficiencies in percent for simulated $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal events with parton-level $H_T > 400$ GeV, at different stages of the event selection, shown for different mass hypotheses and for AK8 and CA15 jets. The statistical uncertainties due to the simulated sample size are also shown.

AK8 jets							
m_Φ (GeV)	$p_T > 450$ GeV	$m_{SD} > 40$ GeV	Lepton veto	$p_T^{\text{miss}} < 140$ GeV	$N_2^{1,\text{DDT}} < 0$	$-6 < \rho < 2.1$	double- b tag
50	75.0 ± 0.1	37.5 ± 0.2	36.2 ± 0.2	32.9 ± 0.2	14.7 ± 0.1	14.3 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 0.1
100	75.4 ± 0.1	42.2 ± 0.2	40.6 ± 0.2	37.5 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.1	17.5 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.1
125	75.5 ± 0.2	42.3 ± 0.2	40.6 ± 0.2	37.5 ± 0.2	18.1 ± 0.1	17.5 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.1
CA15 jets							
m_Φ (GeV)	$p_T > 500$ GeV	$m_{SD} > 82$ GeV	Lepton veto	$p_T^{\text{miss}} < 140$ GeV	$N_2^{1,\text{DDT}} < 0$	$-4.7 < \rho < -1.0$	double- b tag
200	61.0 ± 0.1	35.6 ± 0.1	33.9 ± 0.1	31.1 ± 0.1	13.9 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.1
300	63.4 ± 0.1	35.7 ± 0.1	34.0 ± 0.1	31.1 ± 0.1	13.2 ± 0.1	11.1 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1
350	64.3 ± 0.1	35.8 ± 0.1	33.9 ± 0.1	31.1 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.1	8.6 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1

CA15 jets to ensure the background model described in Sec. V is robust. The resulting m_{SD} distributions are binned with a bin width of 7 GeV, corresponding to the m_{SD} resolution near the W and Z resonances.

The dimensionless mass scale variable for QCD multijet jets, $\rho = \ln(m_{SD}^2/p_T^2)$ [55,57], is used to characterize the correlation between the jet b tagging discriminator, jet mass, and jet p_T . Its distribution is roughly invariant in different ranges of jet p_T . Only events in the range $-6.0 < \rho < -2.1$ ($-4.7 < \rho < -1.0$) are considered for AK8 (CA15) jets, effectively defining different m_{SD} ranges depending on jet p_T . The upper bound is imposed to avoid instabilities at the edges of the distribution due to finite cone limitations from the jet clustering, while the lower bound avoids the non-perturbative regime of the m_{SD} calculation. This requirement is about 98% efficient for the $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal at low masses (50–125 GeV) when reconstructed as an AK8 jet, and 60%–85% efficient at high masses (200–350 GeV) when reconstructed as a CA15 jet.

The N_2^1 variable [21] is used to determine how consistent a jet is with having a two-prong substructure. It is based on a ratio of 2-point (${}_1e_2$) and 3-point (${}_2e_3$) generalized energy correlation functions [58],

$${}_1e_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} z_i z_j \Delta R_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

$${}_2e_3 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} z_i z_j z_k \times \min\{\Delta R_{ij}\Delta R_{ik}, \Delta R_{ij}\Delta R_{jk}, \Delta R_{ik}\Delta R_{jk}\}, \quad (4)$$

where z_i represents the energy fraction of the constituent i in the jet and ΔR_{ij} is the angular separation between constituents i and j . These generalized energy correlation functions ${}_v e_n$ are sensitive to correlations of v pairwise angles among n -jet constituents [21]. For a two-prong structure, signal jets have a stronger 2-point correlation than a 3-point correlation. The discriminant variable N_2^1 is then constructed via the ratio

$$N_2^1 = \frac{{}_2e_3}{({}_1e_2)^2}. \quad (5)$$

The calculation of N_2^1 is based on the jet constituents after application of the soft-drop grooming algorithm to the jet. It provides excellent discrimination between two-prong signal jets and QCD background jets. However, imposing requirements on N_2^1 , or other similar variables, distorts the jet mass distributions differently depending on the p_T of the jet [59]. To minimize this distortion, a transformation is applied to N_2^1 following the designed decorrelated tagger (DDT) technique [57] to reduce its correlation with ρ and p_T in multijet events. The transformed variable is defined as $N_2^{1,\text{DDT}} \equiv N_2^1 - X_{(26\%)}$, where $X_{(26\%)}$ is the 26th percentile of the N_2^1 distribution in simulated QCD events as a function of ρ and p_T . The transformation is derived in bins of ρ and p_T , separately for AK8 and CA15 jets. This ensures that the selection $N_2^{1,\text{DDT}} < 0$ yields a constant QCD background efficiency across the ρ and p_T range considered in this search. The chosen background efficiency of 26% maximizes the signal sensitivity, independent of the signal mass.

A dedicated double- b tagger is used to select jets likely to originate from two b quarks [22]. Events where the selected wide jet is double- b -tagged constitute the “passing”, or signal, region while events failing the double- b tagger form the “failing” region, which is used to estimate the QCD multijet background in the signal region. The multivariate algorithm, based on a boosted decision tree, takes as inputs several observables that characterize the distinct properties of b hadrons and their flight directions in relation to the jet substructure. A wide jet is considered double- b tagged if its double- b tagger discriminator value exceeds a threshold corresponding to a 1% misidentification rate for QCD jets and a 33% efficiency for $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ candidates with a mass of 125 GeV reconstructed as AK8 jets.

For CA15 jets, because of the larger cone with radius parameter of 1.5, it is often possible to resolve two subjects within the wide jet; hence additional background

discrimination can be obtained by incorporating the individual subjet b tagging probabilities. The subjets are constructed using the soft-drop algorithm, and assigned b tagging scores using the combined secondary vertex algorithm (CSVv2) [22] that combines information from displaced tracks and vertices using a multilayer perceptron. The second highest CSVv2 score is then used as an additional input to the boosted decision tree of the double- b tagger. For the chosen discriminator threshold, the double- b tagger algorithm has a misidentification rate of about 4%, and a signal efficiency which decreases with mass, equalling 25 (13)% for a signal mass of 200 GeV (350 GeV).

The efficiency (in percent) of the cumulative selection criteria for the scalar $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal benchmark is shown in Table I. The efficiencies for the $A(b\bar{b})$ signal are consistent within the statistical uncertainties.

V. BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

The W , Z , and H + jets backgrounds are modeled using MC simulation. Their overall contribution is less than 5% of the total SM background. The normalization and shape of the simulated W/Z + jets backgrounds are corrected for NLO QCD and EW effects. Other EW processes, such as diboson, triboson, and $t\bar{t} + W/Z$, are estimated from simulation and found to be negligible.

The contribution of $t\bar{t}$ production to the total SM background, estimated to be less than 3%, is obtained from simulation corrected with scale factors derived from a $t\bar{t}$ -enriched control sample in which an isolated muon [53] is required. Scale factors correct the overall $t\bar{t}$ normalization and the double- b mistag efficiency for jets originating from top quark decays. The control sample is included in the global fit used to extract the signal, with the scale factors treated as unconstrained parameters.

The main background in the passing region, QCD multijet production, has a nontrivial jet mass shape that is difficult to model parametrically and depends on jet p_T . Therefore, we constrain it using the background-enriched failing region,

i.e., events failing the double- b tagger selection. Since the double- b tagger discriminator and the jet mass are largely uncorrelated, the passing and failing regions have similar QCD jet mass distributions, and their ratio, the “pass-fail ratio” $R_{p/f}$, is expected to be nearly constant as a function of jet mass and p_T . To account for the residual difference between the shapes of passing and failing events, $R_{p/f}$ is parametrized as a Bernstein polynomial in ρ and p_T ,

$$R_{p/f}(\rho, p_T) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_\rho} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n_{p_T}} a_{k,\ell} b_{k,n_\rho}(\rho) b_{\ell,n_{p_T}}(p_T), \quad (6)$$

where n_ρ is the degree of the polynomial in ρ , n_{p_T} is the degree of the polynomial in p_T , $a_{k,\ell}$ is a Bernstein coefficient, and

$$b_{\nu,n}(x) = \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \quad (7)$$

is a Bernstein basis polynomial of degree n .

The coefficients $a_{k,\ell}$ have no external constraints, but are determined from a simultaneous binned fit to data in passing and failing regions across the whole jet mass and p_T range. The p_T binning, varying from 50 to 200 GeV, is chosen to provide enough data points to constrain the shape of $R_{p/f}$. To determine the degree of polynomial necessary to fit the data, a Fisher F -test [60] is performed. Based on its results, a polynomial of second (fifth) degree in ρ and first degree in p_T is selected for the AK8 (CA15) analysis category. The fitted pass-fail ratios $R_{p/f}$ as functions of ρ and p_T under the background-only hypothesis are shown in Fig. 2 for the AK8 and CA15 selections.

Figures 3 and 4 show the m_{SD} distributions in the full data set for the passing and failing regions with fitted SM background for AK8 and CA15 selections, respectively. Note that the different ρ boundaries define different m_{SD} ranges for the AK8 and CA15 selections as well as within each p_T category, giving rise to the features at 166, 180,




FIG. 2. The fitted pass-fail ratio $R_{p/f}$ as a function of p_T and ρ for the AK8 selection (left) and the CA15 selection (right).




FIG. 3. The observed and fitted background m_{SD} distributions for the AK8 selection for the failing (left) and passing (right) regions, combining all the p_T categories. The background fit is performed under the background-only hypothesis. A hypothetical $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal at a mass of 140 GeV is also indicated. The features at 166, 180, 201, 215, and 250 GeV in the m_{SD} distribution are due to the ρ boundaries, which define different m_{SD} ranges for each p_T category. The shaded blue band shows the systematic uncertainty in the total background prediction. The bottom panel shows the difference between the data and the nonresonant background prediction, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data.

201, 215, and 250 GeV in Fig. 3 and at 285, 313, 341, 376, and 432 GeV in Fig. 4. The bottom panels of Figs. 3–6 show the difference between the data and the prediction from the nonresonant background, composed of the QCD multijet and $t\bar{t}$ processes, divided by the statistical

uncertainty in the data. These highlight the agreement between the data and the contributions from W and Z boson production, which are clearly visible in the failing and passing regions, respectively. The remaining W boson contribution in the passing region is due to the




FIG. 4. The observed and fitted background m_{SD} distributions for the CA15 selection for the failing (top) and passing (bottom) regions, combining all the p_T categories. The background fit is performed under the background-only hypothesis. A hypothetical $A(b\bar{b})$ signal at a mass of 260 GeV is also indicated. The features at 285, 313, 341, 376, and 432 GeV in the m_{SD} distribution are due to the ρ boundaries, which define different m_{SD} ranges for each p_T category. The shaded blue band shows the systematic uncertainty in the total background prediction. The bottom panel shows the difference between the data and the nonresonant background prediction, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data.




FIG. 5. The observed and fitted background m_{SD} distributions in each p_T category for the AK8 selection in the passing regions. The fit is performed under the background-only hypothesis. A hypothetical $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal at a mass of 140 GeV is also indicated. The shaded blue band shows the systematic uncertainty in the total background prediction. The bottom panel shows the difference between the data and the nonresonant background prediction, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data.




FIG. 6. The observed and fitted background m_{SD} distributions in each p_T category for the CA15 selection in the passing regions. The fit is performed under the background-only hypothesis. A hypothetical $A(b\bar{b})$ signal at a mass of 260 GeV is also indicated. The shaded blue band shows the systematic uncertainty in the total background prediction. The bottom panel shows the difference between the data and the nonresonant background prediction, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data.

misidentification of $W(q\bar{q})$ decays. No significant deviations from the background-only expectations are observed. In Figs. 5 and 6, the m_{SD} distributions are reported for each p_T category for AK8 and CA15 jets, respectively.

In order to validate the background estimation method and associated systematic uncertainties, bias studies are performed on simulated samples and on the background-only fits. Pseudoexperiment data sets are generated, with and without the injection of signal events, and then fit with the signal plus background model. No significant bias in the fitted signal strength is observed; specifically, the means of the differences between the fitted and injected signal strengths divided by the fitted uncertainty are found to be less than 15%.

In addition, to validate the corrections and uncertainties related to the $W(q\bar{q})$ and $Z(q\bar{q})$ resonances, we perform a consistency check by directly measuring a combined signal strength for those contributions assuming the SM background-only hypothesis. We find agreement with the SM expectation within the measured uncertainties.

VI. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The systematic uncertainties associated with the jet mass scale, the jet mass resolution, and the $N_2^{1,DDT}$ selection efficiency are correlated among the W , Z , $H(b\bar{b})$, and $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ or $A(b\bar{b})$ processes. These uncertainties are estimated using an independent sample of merged W jets in semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ events in data.

To select a sample of merged W jets from semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ production, events are required to have an energetic muon with $p_T > 100$ GeV, $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 80$ GeV, a high- p_T AK8 or CA15 jet with $p_T > 200$ GeV, and an additional jet separated from the AK8 (CA15) jet by $\Delta R > 0.8$ (1.5). Using the same $N_2^{1,DDT}$ requirements that define the signal

regions, we define samples with events that pass and fail the selection for merged W boson jets in data and simulation. A simultaneous fit to the two samples is performed in order to extract the selection efficiency of a merged W jet in simulation and in data. This is performed separately for AK8 and CA15 selections. We measure the data-to-simulation scale factor for the $N_2^{1,DDT}$ selection to be 0.99 ± 0.04 for AK8 jets and 0.97 ± 0.06 for CA15 jets. The jet mass scales in data and simulation are found to be consistent within 1%. The jet mass resolution data-to-simulation scale factor is 1.08 ± 0.09 for AK8 jets and 0.99 ± 0.08 for CA15 jets. As the semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ sample does not contain a large population of jets with very high p_T , an additional systematic uncertainty is included to account for the extrapolation to very high p_T jets. The jet mass scale uncertainty is allowed to vary in the signal extraction differently depending on the jet p_T , and ranges from 2% at 450 GeV to 4% at 1 TeV.

The efficiency of the double- b tagger is measured in data and simulation in a sample enriched in $b\bar{b}$ pairs from gluon splitting [22]. Scale factors relating data and simulation are then computed and applied to the simulation. The measured double- b tagger efficiency scale factor is found to be 0.86 ± 0.07 for CA15 jets and 0.91 ± 0.04 for AK8 jets, where the uncertainty accounts for various systematic effects including the calibration of the jet probability tagger algorithm used in the method, the modeling of the track reconstruction efficiency, the modeling of b quark fragmentation, and others [22].

The scale factors described above determine the initial distributions of the jet mass for the $W(q\bar{q})$, $Z(q\bar{q})$, $H(b\bar{b})$, and $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ or $A(b\bar{b})$ processes and are further constrained in the fit to data by the presence of the W and Z resonances in the jet mass distribution.

TABLE II. Summary of the systematic uncertainties affecting the signal, W and Z + jets processes. Instances where the uncertainty does not apply are indicated by a dash. The reported percentages reflect a one standard deviation effect on the product of acceptance and efficiency of each process. For the uncertainties related to the jet mass scale and resolution, which affect the mass distribution shapes, the reported percentages reflect a one standard deviation effect on the nominal jet mass.

Uncertainty source	Process			
	W or Z (AK8)	W or Z (CA15)	Φ or A (AK8)	Φ or A (CA15)
Integrated luminosity	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Trigger efficiency	2%	2%	2%	2%
Pileup	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
$N_2^{1,DDT}$ selection efficiency	4.3%	6%	4.3%	6%
Double- b tag	4% (Z)	8% (Z)	4%	8%
Jet energy scale/resolution	5%–15%	5%–15%	5%–15%	5%–15%
Jet mass resolution	8%	8%	8%	8%
Jet mass scale ($\%/(p_T[\text{GeV}]/100)$)	0.4%	1%	0.4%	1%
Simulation sample size	2%–25%	2%–25%	4%–20%	4%–20%
NLO QCD corrections	10%	10%
NLO EW corrections	15%–35%	15%–35%
NLO EW W/Z decorrelation	5%–15%	5%–15%

To account for potential p_T -dependent deviations due to missing higher-order corrections, uncertainties are applied to the $W(q\bar{q})$ and $Z(q\bar{q})$ yields that are p_T dependent and correlated per p_T bin [42,43,61–65]. An additional systematic uncertainty is included to account for potential differences between the W and Z higher-order corrections (EW W/Z decorrelation) [61].

Finally, additional systematic uncertainties are applied to the $W(q\bar{q})$, $Z(q\bar{q})$, $t\bar{t}$, $H(b\bar{b})$, and $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ or $A(b\bar{b})$ yields to account for the uncertainties due to the jet energy scale and resolution [66], variations in the amount of pileup, the integrated luminosity determination [67], and the limited simulation sample sizes. A quantitative summary of the systematic effects considered is shown in Table II.

VII. RESULTS

The search results are interpreted in the context of the scalar and pseudoscalar signal models described in Sec. I.

The signals are modeled using MC simulation. For the search with AK8 (CA15) jets, a binned maximum likelihood fit to the observed m_{SD} distributions in the range 40 to 201 (82 to 399) GeV with a 7 GeV bin width is performed using the sum of the signal, $H(b\bar{b})$, W , Z , $t\bar{t}$, and QCD multijet contributions. The fit is performed simultaneously in the passing and failing regions of the six (five) p_T categories within $450(500) < p_T < 1000$ GeV for AK8 (CA15) jets, as well as in the passing and failing components of the $t\bar{t}$ -enriched control region.

The chosen test statistic, used to determine how signal- or background-like the data are, is based on the profile likelihood ratio [68] using the CL_s criterion [69,70]. Systematic uncertainties are incorporated into the analysis via nuisance parameters and treated according to the frequentist paradigm. Upper limits at 95% confidence level (CL) are obtained using asymptotic formulae [68,71,72].

The 95% CL upper limits on the $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ and $A(b\bar{b})$ production as a function of resonance mass are shown




FIG. 7. Upper limits at 95% CL on the product of the Φ production cross section and the branching fraction to $b\bar{b}$ (top) and on $g_{q\Phi}$ (bottom), as a function of the resonance mass m_Φ . The blue dash-dotted line indicates the theoretical scalar production cross section assuming $g_{q\Phi} = 1$ as a chosen benchmark [5]. The vertical line at 175 GeV corresponds to the transition between the AK8 and CA15 jet selections.




FIG. 8. Upper limits at 95% CL on the product of the A production cross section and the branching fraction to $b\bar{b}$ (top) and on g_{qA} (bottom), as a function of the resonance mass m_A . The blue dash-dotted line indicates the theoretical pseudoscalar production cross section assuming $g_{qA} = 1$ as a chosen benchmark [5]. The vertical line at 175 GeV corresponds to the transition between the AK8 and CA15 jet selections.

in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. Based on the expected sensitivity, the AK8 jet selection is used for signal masses below 175 GeV, and the CA15 jet selection is used above. We exclude Φ or A production with a product of the cross section and branching fraction $[\sigma\mathcal{B}(b\bar{b})]$ as low as 79 or 86 pb, respectively, at a resonance mass of 175 GeV. The exclusions are converted to upper limits on the coupling $g_{q\Phi}$ for the scalar model and the coupling g_{qA} for the pseudoscalar model. The abrupt loss in sensitivity to the coupling constants for resonance masses greater than $2m_t$ is because the branching fraction to $b\bar{b}$ falls steeply as the decay to $t\bar{t}$ becomes kinematically allowed. For a resonance mass of 175 GeV, the exclusion corresponds to an upper limit on $g_{q\Phi}$ or g_{qA} of 3.9 or 2.5, respectively.

For the search with AK8 jets, the maximum local significance [73] corresponds to 0.5 standard deviations from the background-only expectation at a $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ mass of 140 GeV. The hypothetical $\Phi(b\bar{b})$ signal is indicated in Figs. 3 and 5 with $g_{q\Phi} = 4.7$, which is equivalent to the 95% CL upper limit. Similarly, for the CA15 search, the maximum local significance is 1.2 standard deviations at an $A(b\bar{b})$ mass of 260 GeV. The hypothetical $A(b\bar{b})$ signal is indicated in Figs. 4 and 6 with $g_{qA} = 4.6$, which is equivalent to the 95% CL upper limit. The largest downward fluctuation in the limits occurs at an $A(b\bar{b})$ mass of 175 GeV in the AK8 search, corresponding to a local significance of -2.9 standard deviations and a global significance [73], calculated over the probed mass range (50–350 GeV), of approximately -1.7 standard deviations. A corresponding deficit is not seen in CA15 search, as the events used in the AK8 and CA15 searches are largely independent; approximately 20 (37)% of events in the CA15 search are selected in the AK8 search, while conversely, approximately 37% of events in the AK8 search are selected in the CA15 search.

VIII. SUMMARY

A search for a low-mass resonance decaying into a bottom quark-antiquark pair and reconstructed as a single wide jet has been presented, using a data set of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . Dedicated substructure and double- b tagging techniques were employed to identify jets containing a resonance candidate over a smoothly falling soft-drop jet mass distribution in data. No significant excess above the standard model prediction was observed for signal masses between 50–350 GeV. Upper limits at 95% confidence level are set on the product of the resonance production cross section and the branching fraction to bottom quark-antiquark pairs, as well as on the coupling $g_{q\Phi}$ (g_{qA}) of a scalar (pseudoscalar) boson decaying to quarks. The search excludes the production through gluon fusion of a scalar (pseudoscalar) decaying to

$b\bar{b}$ with a product of the cross section and branching fraction as low as 79 (86) pb at a resonance mass of 175 GeV, corresponding to an upper limit on $g_{q\Phi}$ (g_{qA}) of 3.9 (2.5). This constitutes the first LHC constraint on exotic bottom quark-antiquark resonances below 325 GeV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, Contract No. 675440 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science—EOS”—be.h Project No. 30820817; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Lendület (“Momentum”) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI

research Grants No. 123842, No. 123959, No. 124845, No. 124850 and No. 125105 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), Contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by

Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, Grant No. MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Welch Foundation, Contract No. C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

-
- [1] D. Abercrombie *et al.*, Dark matter benchmark models for early LHC Run-2 searches: Report of the ATLAS/CMS dark matter forum, [arXiv:1507.00966](#).
 - [2] M. R. Buckley, D. Feld, and D. Goncalves, Scalar simplified models for dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 015017 (2015).
 - [3] P. Harris, V. V. Khoze, M. Spannowsky, and C. Williams, Constraining dark sectors at colliders: beyond the effective theory approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 055009 (2015).
 - [4] U. Haisch and E. Re, Simplified dark matter top-quark interactions at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2015) 078.
 - [5] G. Busoni *et al.*, Recommendations on presenting LHC searches for missing transverse energy signals using simplified s -channel models of dark matter, [arXiv:1603.04156](#).
 - [6] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for Narrow Resonances in Dijet Final States at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the Novel CMS Technique of Data Scouting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 031802 (2016).
 - [7] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for dijet resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and constraints on dark matter and other models, *Phys. Lett. B* **769**, 520 (2017); Erratum, *Phys. Lett. B* **772**, 882(E) (2017).
 - [8] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for narrow and broad dijet resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and constraints on dark matter mediators and other new particles, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2018) 130.
 - [9] M. Aaboud *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for Low-Mass Dijet Resonances Using Trigger-Level Jets with the ATLAS Detector in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 081801 (2018).
 - [10] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for Narrow Resonances in the b -Tagged Dijet Mass Spectrum in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 201801 (2018).
 - [11] M. Aaboud *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for resonances in the mass distribution of jet pairs with one or two jets identified as b -jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 032016 (2018).
 - [12] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for Low Mass Vector Resonances Decaying to Quark-Antiquark Pairs in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 111802 (2017).
 - [13] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for low mass vector resonances decaying into quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2018) 097.
 - [14] M. Aaboud *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for light resonances decaying to boosted quark pairs and produced in association with a photon or a jet in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 316 (2019).
 - [15] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Inclusive Search for a Highly Boosted Higgs Boson Decaying to a Bottom Quark-Antiquark Pair, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 071802 (2018).
 - [16] D. Liu, J. Liu, C. E. M. Wagner, and X.-P. Wang, Bottom-quark forward-backward asymmetry, dark matter and the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 055021 (2018).
 - [17] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063.
 - [18] Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. D. Leder, S. Moretti, and B. R. Webber, Better jet clustering algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (1997) 001.
 - [19] M. Wobisch and T. Wengler, Hadronization corrections to jet cross-sections in deep inelastic scattering, [arXiv:hep-ph/9907280](#).
 - [20] J. M. Butterworth, A. R. Davison, M. Rubin, and G. P. Salam, Jet Substructure as a New Higgs Search Channel at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 242001 (2008).
 - [21] I. Mout, L. Necib, and J. Thaler, New angles on energy correlation functions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2016) 153.
 - [22] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P05011 (2018).
 - [23] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P01020 (2017).
 - [24] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).

- [25] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—A simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [26] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2014) 079.
- [27] O. Mattelaer and E. Vryonidou, Dark matter production through loop-induced processes at the LHC: The s -channel mediator case, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 436 (2015).
- [28] S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, and G. Luisoni, Next-to-Leading-Order QCD Corrections to Higgs Boson Plus Jet Production with Full Top-Quark Mass Dependence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 162001 (2018).
- [29] J. Alwall *et al.*, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53**, 473 (2008).
- [30] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2004) 040.
- [31] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2007) 070.
- [32] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: The POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2010) 043.
- [33] G. Luisoni, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and F. Tramontano, $HW^\pm/HZ+0$ and 1 jet at NLO with the POWHEG BOX interfaced to GoSam and their merging within MiNLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2013) 083.
- [34] D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini, and D. Tommasini, Higgs boson production at the LHC: Transverse momentum resummation effects in the $H \rightarrow 2\gamma$, $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\nu$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ decay modes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2012) 132.
- [35] M. Grazzini and H. Sargsyan, Heavy-quark mass effects in Higgs boson production at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2013) 129.
- [36] E. Bagnaschi, G. Degrossi, P. Slavich, and A. Vicini, Higgs production via gluon fusion in the POWHEG approach in the SM and in the MSSM, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2012) 088.
- [37] E. Bagnaschi and A. Vicini, The Higgs transverse momentum distribution in gluon fusion as a multiscale problem, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2016) 056.
- [38] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159 (2015).
- [39] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multi-parton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 155 (2016).
- [40] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, MCFM for the LHC, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **205–206**, 10 (2010).
- [41] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, and A. Mitov, Total Top Quark Pair-Production Cross Section at Hadron Colliders through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252004 (2013).
- [42] S. Kallweit, J. M. Lindert, P. Maierhöfer, S. Pozzorini, and M. Schönherr, NLO electroweak automation and precise predictions for W +multijet production at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 012.
- [43] S. Kallweit, J. M. Lindert, P. Maierhofer, S. Pozzorini, and M. Schönherr, NLO QCD + EW predictions for V + jets including off-shell vector-boson decays and multi-jet merging, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2016) 021.
- [44] S. Kallweit, J. M. Lindert, S. Pozzorini, M. Schönherr, and P. Maierhöfer, NLO QCD + EW automation and precise predictions for V + multijet production, *arXiv:1505.05704*.
- [45] J. M. Lindert *et al.*, Precise predictions for V + jets dark matter backgrounds, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 829 (2017).
- [46] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 040.
- [47] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [48] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, Fastjet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1896 (2012).
- [49] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, and N. Tran, Pileup per particle identification, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2014) 059.
- [50] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **12** (2017) P02014.
- [51] D. Krohn, J. Thaler, and L.-T. Wang, Jet trimming, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2010) 084.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **10**, P06005 (2015).
- [53] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P06015 (2018).
- [54] A. J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, and J. Thaler, Soft drop, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2014) 146.
- [55] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani, and G. P. Salam, Towards an understanding of jet substructure, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2013) 029.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2256875>.
- [57] J. Dolen, P. Harris, S. Marzani, S. Rappoccio, and N. Tran, Thinking outside the ROCs: Designing decorrelated taggers (DDT) for jet substructure, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2016) 156.
- [58] A. J. Larkoski, G. P. Salam, and J. Thaler, Energy correlation functions for jet substructure, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2013) 108.
- [59] J. Thaler and K. Van Tilburg, Identifying boosted objects with N -subjettiness, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2011) 015.
- [60] R. A. Fisher, On the interpretation of χ^2 from contingency tables, and the calculation of P , *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **85**, 87 (1922).

- [61] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for new physics in final states with an energetic jet or a hadronically decaying W or Z boson and transverse momentum imbalance at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 092005 (2018).
- [62] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, T. Kasprzik, and A. Muck, Electroweak corrections to W + jet hadroproduction including leptonic W -boson decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2009) 075.
- [63] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, T. Kasprzik, and A. Muck, Electroweak corrections to dilepton + jet production at hadron colliders, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2011) 069.
- [64] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, T. Kasprzik, and A. Maec, Electroweak corrections to monojet production at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2297 (2013).
- [65] J. H. Kuhn, A. Kulesza, S. Pozzorini, and M. Schulze, Electroweak corrections to hadronic photon production at large transverse momenta, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2006) 059.
- [66] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS, *J. Instrum.* **6**, P11002 (2011).
- [67] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurements for the 2016 data taking period, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2257069>.
- [68] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011, CMS Note/ATLAS Pub No. CMS-NOTE-2011-005 and No. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1379837>.
- [69] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* **28**, 2693 (2002).
- [70] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **434**, 435 (1999).
- [71] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Precise determination of the mass of the Higgs boson and tests of compatibility of its couplings with the standard model predictions using proton collisions at 7 and 8 TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 212 (2015).
- [72] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1554 (2011); Erratum, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2501(E) (2013).
- [73] L. Demortier, P values and nuisance parameters, in *PHYSTAT-LHC Workshop on Statistical Issues for LHC Physics*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007 (CERN, Geneva, 2008), p. 23, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1021125>.

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² F. Ambrogio,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² A. Escalante Del Valle,² M. Flechl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} N. Krammer,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Madlener,² I. Mikulec,² N. Rad,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² M. Spanring,² D. Spitzbart,² A. Taurok,² W. Waltenberger,² J. Wittmann,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} M. Zarucki,² V. Chekhovsky,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ D. Di Croce,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ J. Lauwers,⁴ M. Pieters,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ S. Abu Zeid,⁵ F. Blekman,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ J. De Clercq,⁵ K. Deroover,⁵ G. Flouris,⁵ D. Lontkovskyi,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ I. Marchesini,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ L. Moreels,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ K. Skovpen,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ I. Van Parijs,⁵ D. Beghin,⁶ B. Bilin,⁶ H. Brun,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ H. Delannoy,⁶ B. Dorney,⁶ G. Fasanella,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ R. Goldouzian,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ A. K. Kalsi,⁶ T. Lenzi,⁶ J. Luetic,⁶ N. Postiau,⁶ E. Starling,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ D. Vannerom,⁶ Q. Wang,⁶ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ A. Fagot,⁷ M. Gul,⁷ I. Khvastunov,^{7,c} D. Poyraz,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ D. Trocino,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ W. Verbeke,⁷ B. Vermassen,⁷ M. Vit,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁸ O. Bondu,⁸ S. Brochet,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ C. Caputo,⁸ P. David,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ M. Delcourt,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ G. Krintiras,⁸ V. Lemaître,⁸ A. Magitteri,⁸ K. Piotrkowski,⁸ A. Saggio,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ S. Wertz,⁸ J. Zobec,⁸ F. L. Alves,⁹ G. A. Alves,⁹ M. Correa Martins Junior,⁹ G. Correia Silva,⁹ C. Hensel,⁹ A. Moraes,⁹ M. E. Pol,⁹ P. Rebello Teles,⁹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹⁰ W. Carvalho,¹⁰ J. Chinellato,^{10,d} E. Coelho,¹⁰ E. M. Da Costa,¹⁰ G. G. Da Silveira,^{10,e} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹⁰ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹⁰ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹⁰ H. Malbouisson,¹⁰ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹⁰ M. Melo De Almeida,¹⁰ C. Mora Herrera,¹⁰ L. Mundim,¹⁰ H. Nogima,¹⁰ W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹⁰ L. J. Sanchez Rosas,¹⁰ A. Santoro,¹⁰ A. Sznajder,¹⁰ M. Thiel,¹⁰ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{10,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹⁰ A. Vilela Pereira,¹⁰ S. Ahuja,^{11a} C. A. Bernardes,^{11a} L. Calligaris,^{11a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{11a} E. M. Gregores,^{11a,11b} P. G. Mercadante,^{11a,11b} S. F. Novaes,^{11a} Sandra S. Padula,^{11a} A. Aleksandrov,¹² R. Hadjiiska,¹² P. Iaydjiev,¹² A. Marinov,¹² M. Misheva,¹² M. Rodozov,¹² M. Shopova,¹² G. Sultanov,¹² A. Dimitrov,¹³ L. Litov,¹³ B. Pavlov,¹³ P. Petkov,¹³ W. Fang,^{14,f} X. Gao,^{14,f} L. Yuan,¹⁴ M. Ahmad,¹⁵ J. G. Bian,¹⁵ G. M. Chen,¹⁵ H. S. Chen,¹⁵ M. Chen,¹⁵ Y. Chen,¹⁵ C. H. Jiang,¹⁵ D. Leggat,¹⁵ H. Liao,¹⁵ Z. Liu,¹⁵ F. Romeo,¹⁵ S. M. Shaheen,^{15,g} A. Spiezia,¹⁵ J. Tao,¹⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁵ E. Yazgan,¹⁵ H. Zhang,¹⁵ S. Zhang,^{15,g} J. Zhao,¹⁵ Y. Ban,¹⁶ G. Chen,¹⁶ A. Levin,¹⁶ J. Li,¹⁶ L. Li,¹⁶ Q. Li,¹⁶ Y. Mao,¹⁶ S. J. Qian,¹⁶ D. Wang,¹⁶ Y. Wang,¹⁷ C. Avila,¹⁸ A. Cabrera,¹⁸ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹⁸ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁸ C. Florez,¹⁸ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁸ M. A. Segura Delgado,¹⁸ B. Courbon,¹⁹ N. Godinovic,¹⁹ D. Lelas,¹⁹ I. Puljak,¹⁹ T. Sculac,¹⁹

Z. Antunovic,²⁰ M. Kovac,²⁰ V. Brigljevic,²¹ D. Ferencek,²¹ K. Kadija,²¹ B. Mesic,²¹ A. Starodumov,^{21,h} T. Susa,²¹ M. W. Ather,²² A. Attikis,²² M. Kolosova,²² G. Mavromanolakis,²² J. Mousa,²² C. Nicolaou,²² F. Ptochos,²² P. A. Razis,²² H. Rykaczewski,²² M. Finger,^{23,i} M. Finger Jr.,^{23,i} E. Ayala,²⁴ E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁵ Y. Assran,^{26,j,k} S. Elgammal,^{26,j} A. Ellithi Kamel,^{26,l} S. Bhowmik,²⁷ A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,²⁷ R. K. Dewanjee,²⁷ K. Ehataht,²⁷ M. Kadastik,²⁷ M. Raidal,²⁷ C. Veelken,²⁷ P. Eerola,²⁸ H. Kirschenmann,²⁸ J. Pekkanen,²⁸ M. Voutilainen,²⁸ J. Havukainen,²⁹ J. K. Heikkilä,²⁹ T. Järvinen,²⁹ V. Karimäki,²⁹ R. Kinnunen,²⁹ T. Lampén,²⁹ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁹ S. Laurila,²⁹ S. Lehti,²⁹ T. Lindén,²⁹ P. Luukka,²⁹ T. Mäenpää,²⁹ H. Siikonen,²⁹ E. Tuominen,²⁹ J. Tuominiemi,²⁹ T. Tuuva,³⁰ M. Besancon,³¹ F. Couderc,³¹ M. Dejardin,³¹ D. Denegri,³¹ J. L. Faure,³¹ F. Ferri,³¹ S. Ganjour,³¹ A. Givernaud,³¹ P. Gras,³¹ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³¹ P. Jarry,³¹ C. Leloup,³¹ E. Locci,³¹ J. Malcles,³¹ G. Negro,³¹ J. Rander,³¹ A. Rosowsky,³¹ M. Ö. Sahin,³¹ M. Titov,³¹ A. Abdulsalam,^{32,m} C. Amendola,³² I. Antropov,³² F. Beaudette,³² P. Busson,³² C. Charlot,³² R. Granier de Cassagnac,³² I. Kucher,³² A. Lobanov,³² J. Martin Blanco,³² C. Martin Perez,³² M. Nguyen,³² C. Ochando,³² G. Ortona,³² P. Paganini,³² P. Pigard,³² J. Rembser,³² R. Salerno,³² J. B. Sauvan,³² Y. Sirois,³² A. G. Stahl Leitner,³² A. Zabi,³² A. Zghiche,³² J.-L. Agram,^{33,n} J. Andrea,³³ D. Bloch,³³ J.-M. Brom,³³ E. C. Chabert,³³ V. Cherepanov,³³ C. Collard,³³ E. Conte,^{33,n} J.-C. Fontaine,^{33,n} D. Gelé,³³ U. Goerlach,³³ M. Jansová,³³ A.-C. Le Bihan,³³ N. Tonon,³³ P. Van Hove,³³ S. Gadrat,³⁴ S. Beauceron,³⁵ C. Bernet,³⁵ G. Boudoul,³⁵ N. Chanon,³⁵ R. Chierici,³⁵ D. Contardo,³⁵ P. Depasse,³⁵ H. El Mamouni,³⁵ J. Fay,³⁵ L. Finco,³⁵ S. Gascon,³⁵ M. Gouzevitch,³⁵ G. Grenier,³⁵ B. Ille,³⁵ F. Lagarde,³⁵ I. B. Laktineh,³⁵ H. Lattaud,³⁵ M. Lethuillier,³⁵ L. Mirabito,³⁵ S. Perries,³⁵ A. Popov,^{35,o} V. Sordini,³⁵ G. Touquet,³⁵ M. Vander Donckt,³⁵ S. Viret,³⁵ T. Toriashvili,^{36,p} I. Bagaturia,^{37,q} C. Autermann,³⁸ L. Feld,³⁸ M. K. Kiesel,³⁸ K. Klein,³⁸ M. Lipinski,³⁸ M. Preuten,³⁸ M. P. Rauch,³⁸ C. Schomakers,³⁸ J. Schulz,³⁸ M. Teroerde,³⁸ B. Wittmer,³⁸ A. Albert,³⁹ D. Duchardt,³⁹ M. Erdmann,³⁹ S. Erdweg,³⁹ T. Esch,³⁹ R. Fischer,³⁹ S. Ghosh,³⁹ A. Güth,³⁹ T. Hebbeker,³⁹ C. Heidemann,³⁹ K. Hoepfner,³⁹ H. Keller,³⁹ L. Mastrolorenzo,³⁹ M. Merschmeyer,³⁹ A. Meyer,³⁹ P. Millet,³⁹ S. Mukherjee,³⁹ T. Pook,³⁹ M. Radziej,³⁹ H. Reithler,³⁹ M. Rieger,³⁹ A. Schmidt,³⁹ D. Teyssier,³⁹ S. Thüer,³⁹ G. Flügge,⁴⁰ O. Hlushchenko,⁴⁰ T. Kress,⁴⁰ T. Müller,⁴⁰ A. Nehrkorn,⁴⁰ A. Nowack,⁴⁰ C. Pistone,⁴⁰ O. Pooth,⁴⁰ D. Roy,⁴⁰ H. Sert,⁴⁰ A. Stahl,^{40,r} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴¹ T. Arndt,⁴¹ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴¹ I. Babounikau,⁴¹ K. Beernaert,⁴¹ O. Behnke,⁴¹ U. Behrens,⁴¹ A. Bermúdez Martínez,⁴¹ D. Bertsche,⁴¹ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴¹ K. Borras,^{41,s} V. Botta,⁴¹ A. Campbell,⁴¹ P. Connor,⁴¹ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴¹ V. Danilov,⁴¹ A. De Wit,⁴¹ M. M. Defranchis,⁴¹ C. Diez Pardos,⁴¹ D. Domínguez Damiani,⁴¹ G. Eckerlin,⁴¹ T. Eichhorn,⁴¹ A. Elwood,⁴¹ E. Eren,⁴¹ E. Gallo,^{41,t} A. Geiser,⁴¹ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴¹ A. Grohsjean,⁴¹ M. Guthoff,⁴¹ M. Haranko,⁴¹ A. Harb,⁴¹ J. Hauk,⁴¹ H. Jung,⁴¹ M. Kasemann,⁴¹ J. Keaveney,⁴¹ C. Kleinwort,⁴¹ J. Knolle,⁴¹ D. Krücker,⁴¹ W. Lange,⁴¹ A. Lelek,⁴¹ T. Lenz,⁴¹ J. Leonard,⁴¹ K. Lipka,⁴¹ W. Lohmann,^{41,u} R. Mankel,⁴¹ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴¹ A. B. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Missiroli,⁴¹ G. Mittag,⁴¹ J. Mnich,⁴¹ V. Myronenko,⁴¹ S. K. Pflitsch,⁴¹ D. Pitzl,⁴¹ A. Raspereza,⁴¹ M. Savitskyi,⁴¹ P. Saxena,⁴¹ P. Schütze,⁴¹ C. Schwanenberger,⁴¹ R. Shevchenko,⁴¹ A. Singh,⁴¹ H. Tholen,⁴¹ O. Turkot,⁴¹ A. Vagnerini,⁴¹ G. P. Van Onsem,⁴¹ R. Walsh,⁴¹ Y. Wen,⁴¹ K. Wichmann,⁴¹ C. Wissing,⁴¹ O. Zenaiev,⁴¹ R. Aggleton,⁴² S. Bein,⁴² L. Benato,⁴² A. Benecke,⁴² V. Blobel,⁴² T. Dreyer,⁴² A. Ebrahimi,⁴² E. Garutti,⁴² D. Gonzalez,⁴² P. Gunnellini,⁴² J. Haller,⁴² A. Hinzmann,⁴² A. Karavdina,⁴² G. Kasieczka,⁴² R. Klanner,⁴² R. Kogler,⁴² N. Kovalchuk,⁴² S. Kurz,⁴² V. Kutzner,⁴² J. Lange,⁴² D. Marconi,⁴² J. Multhaus,⁴² M. Niedziela,⁴² C. E. N. Niemeyer,⁴² D. Nowatschin,⁴² A. Perieanu,⁴² A. Reimers,⁴² O. Rieger,⁴² C. Scharf,⁴² P. Schleper,⁴² S. Schumann,⁴² J. Schwandt,⁴² J. Sonneveld,⁴² H. Stadie,⁴² G. Steinbrück,⁴² F. M. Stober,⁴² M. Stöver,⁴² A. Vanhoefer,⁴² B. Vormwald,⁴² I. Zoi,⁴² M. Akbiyik,⁴³ C. Barth,⁴³ M. Baselga,⁴³ S. Baur,⁴³ E. Butz,⁴³ R. Caspart,⁴³ T. Chwalek,⁴³ F. Colombo,⁴³ W. De Boer,⁴³ A. Dierlamm,⁴³ K. El Morabit,⁴³ N. Faltermann,⁴³ B. Freund,⁴³ M. Giffels,⁴³ M. A. Harrendorf,⁴³ F. Hartmann,^{43,r} S. M. Heindl,⁴³ U. Husemann,⁴³ I. Katkov,^{43,o} S. Kudella,⁴³ S. Mitra,⁴³ M. U. Mozer,⁴³ Th. Müller,⁴³ M. Musich,⁴³ M. Plagge,⁴³ G. Quast,⁴³ K. Rabbertz,⁴³ M. Schröder,⁴³ I. Shvetsov,⁴³ H. J. Simonis,⁴³ R. Ulrich,⁴³ S. Wayand,⁴³ M. Weber,⁴³ T. Weiler,⁴³ C. Wöhrmann,⁴³ R. Wolf,⁴³ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁴ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁴ T. Geralis,⁴⁴ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁴ D. Loukas,⁴⁴ G. Paspalaki,⁴⁴ G. Karathanasis,⁴⁵ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁵ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁵ I. Papavergou,⁴⁵ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁵ E. Tziaferi,⁴⁵ K. Vellidis,⁴⁵ K. Kousouris,⁴⁶ I. Papakrivopoulos,⁴⁶ G. Tsipolitis,⁴⁶ I. Evangelou,⁴⁷ C. Foudas,⁴⁷ P. Gianneios,⁴⁷ P. Katsoulis,⁴⁷ P. Kokkas,⁴⁷ S. Mallios,⁴⁷ N. Manthos,⁴⁷ I. Papadopoulos,⁴⁷ E. Paradis,⁴⁷ J. Strolagos,⁴⁷ F. A. Triantis,⁴⁷ D. Tsitsonis,⁴⁷ M. Bartók,^{48,v} M. Csanad,⁴⁸ N. Filipovic,⁴⁸ P. Major,⁴⁸ M. I. Nagy,⁴⁸ G. Pasztor,⁴⁸ O. Surányi,⁴⁸ G. I. Veres,⁴⁸ G. Bencze,⁴⁹ C. Hajdu,⁴⁹ D. Horvath,^{49,w} Á. Hunyadi,⁴⁹ F. Sikler,⁴⁹ T. Á. Vámi,⁴⁹ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁹ G. Vesztergombi,^{49,a,x} N. Beni,⁵⁰ S. Czellar,⁵⁰ J. Karancsi,^{50,v} A. Makovec,⁵⁰ J. Molnar,⁵⁰ Z. Szillasi,⁵⁰ P. Raics,⁵¹ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁵¹ B. Ujvari,⁵¹ S. Choudhury,⁵² J. R. Komaragiri,⁵² P. C. Tiwari,⁵² S. Bahinipati,^{53,y} C. Kar,⁵³ P. Mal,⁵³ K. Mandal,⁵³ A. Nayak,^{53,z}

D. K. Sahoo,^{53,y} S. K. Swain,⁵³ S. Bansal,⁵⁴ S. B. Beri,⁵⁴ V. Bhatnagar,⁵⁴ S. Chauhan,⁵⁴ R. Chawla,⁵⁴ N. Dhingra,⁵⁴ R. Gupta,⁵⁴ A. Kaur,⁵⁴ M. Kaur,⁵⁴ S. Kaur,⁵⁴ P. Kumari,⁵⁴ M. Lohan,⁵⁴ A. Mehta,⁵⁴ K. Sandeep,⁵⁴ S. Sharma,⁵⁴ J. B. Singh,⁵⁴ A. K. Virdi,⁵⁴ G. Walia,⁵⁴ A. Bhardwaj,⁵⁵ B. C. Choudhary,⁵⁵ R. B. Garg,⁵⁵ M. Gola,⁵⁵ S. Keshri,⁵⁵ Ashok Kumar,⁵⁵ S. Malhotra,⁵⁵ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁵ P. Priyanka,⁵⁵ K. Ranjan,⁵⁵ Aashaq Shah,⁵⁵ R. Sharma,⁵⁵ R. Bhardwaj,^{56,aa} M. Bharti,^{56,aa} R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ U. Bhawandeep,^{56,aa} D. Bhowmik,⁵⁶ S. Dey,⁵⁶ S. Dutt,^{56,aa} S. Dutta,⁵⁶ S. Ghosh,⁵⁶ K. Mondal,⁵⁶ S. Nandan,⁵⁶ A. Purohit,⁵⁶ P. K. Rout,⁵⁶ A. Roy,⁵⁶ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁶ G. Saha,⁵⁶ S. Sarkar,⁵⁶ M. Sharan,⁵⁶ B. Singh,^{56,aa} S. Thakur,^{56,aa} P. K. Behera,⁵⁷ R. Chudasama,⁵⁸ D. Dutta,⁵⁸ V. Jha,⁵⁸ V. Kumar,⁵⁸ P. K. Netrakanti,⁵⁸ L. M. Pant,⁵⁸ P. Shukla,⁵⁸ T. Aziz,⁵⁹ M. A. Bhat,⁵⁹ S. Dugad,⁵⁹ G. B. Mohanty,⁵⁹ N. Sur,⁵⁹ B. Sutar,⁵⁹ Ravindra Kumar Verma,⁵⁹ S. Banerjee,⁶⁰ S. Bhattacharya,⁶⁰ S. Chatterjee,⁶⁰ P. Das,⁶⁰ M. Guchait,⁶⁰ Sa. Jain,⁶⁰ S. Karmakar,⁶⁰ S. Kumar,⁶⁰ M. Maity,^{60,bb} G. Majumder,⁶⁰ K. Mazumdar,⁶⁰ N. Sahoo,⁶⁰ T. Sarkar,^{60,bb} S. Chauhan,⁶¹ S. Dube,⁶¹ V. Hegde,⁶¹ A. Kapoor,⁶¹ K. Kothekar,⁶¹ S. Pandey,⁶¹ A. Rane,⁶¹ A. Rastogi,⁶¹ S. Sharma,⁶¹ S. Chenarani,^{62,cc} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶² S. M. Etesami,^{62,cc} M. Khakzad,⁶² M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶² M. Naseri,⁶² F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁶² B. Safarzadeh,^{62,dd} M. Zeinali,⁶² M. Felcini,⁶³ M. Grunewald,⁶³ M. Abbrescia,^{64a,64b} C. Calabria,^{64a,64b} A. Colaleo,^{64a} D. Creanza,^{64a,64c} L. Cristella,^{64a,64b} N. De Filippis,^{64a,64c} M. De Palma,^{64a,64b} A. Di Florio,^{64a,64b} F. Errico,^{64a,64b} L. Fiore,^{64a} A. Gelmi,^{64a,64b} G. Iaselli,^{64a,64c} M. Ince,^{64a,64b} S. Lezki,^{64a,64b} G. Maggi,^{64a,64c} M. Maggi,^{64a} G. Miniello,^{64a,64b} S. My,^{64a,64b} S. Nuzzo,^{64a,64b} A. Pompili,^{64a,64b} G. Pugliese,^{64a,64c} R. Radogna,^{64a} A. Ranieri,^{64a} G. Selvaggi,^{64a,64b} A. Sharma,^{64a} L. Silvestris,^{64a} R. Venditti,^{64a} P. Verwilligen,^{64a} G. Zito,^{64a} G. Abbiendi,^{65a} C. Battilana,^{65a,65b} D. Bonacorsi,^{65a,65b} L. Borgonovi,^{65a,65b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{65a,65b} R. Campanini,^{65a,65b} P. Capiluppi,^{65a,65b} A. Castro,^{65a,65b} F. R. Cavallo,^{65a} S. S. Chhibra,^{65a,65b} C. Ciocca,^{65a} G. Codispoti,^{65a,65b} M. Cuffiani,^{65a,65b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{65a} F. Fabbri,^{65a} A. Fanfani,^{65a,65b} E. Fontanesi,^{65a} P. Giacomelli,^{65a} C. Grandi,^{65a} L. Guiducci,^{65a,65b} F. Iemmi,^{65a,65b} S. Lo Meo,^{65a} S. Marcellini,^{65a} G. Masetti,^{65a} A. Montanari,^{65a} F. L. Navarria,^{65a,65b} A. Perrotta,^{65a} F. Primavera,^{65a,65b,r} T. Rovelli,^{65a,65b} G. P. Siroli,^{65a,65b} N. Tosi,^{65a} S. Albergo,^{66a,66b} A. Di Mattia,^{66a} R. Potenza,^{66a,66b} A. Tricomi,^{66a,66b} C. Tuve,^{66a,66b} G. Barbagli,^{67a} K. Chatterjee,^{67a,67b} V. Ciulli,^{67a,67b} C. Civinini,^{67a} R. D'Alessandro,^{67a,67b} E. Focardi,^{67a,67b} G. Latino,^{67a} P. Lenzi,^{67a,67b} M. Meschini,^{67a} S. Paoletti,^{67a} L. Russo,^{67a,ee} G. Sguazzoni,^{67a} D. Strom,^{67a} L. Viliani,^{67a} L. Benussi,⁶⁸ S. Bianco,⁶⁸ F. Fabbri,⁶⁸ D. Piccolo,⁶⁸ F. Ferro,^{69a} R. Mulargia,^{69a,69b} F. Ravera,^{69a,69b} E. Robutti,^{69a} S. Tosi,^{69a,69b} A. Benaglia,^{70a} A. Beschi,^{70a,70b} F. Brivio,^{70a,70b} V. Ciriolo,^{70a,70b,r} S. Di Guida,^{70a,70b,r} M. E. Dinardo,^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi,^{70a,70b} S. Gennai,^{70a} A. Ghezzi,^{70a,70b} P. Govoni,^{70a,70b} M. Malberti,^{70a,70b} S. Malvezzi,^{70a} D. Menasce,^{70a} F. Monti,^{70a} L. Moroni,^{70a} M. Paganoni,^{70a,70b} D. Pedrini,^{70a} S. Ragazzi,^{70a,70b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis,^{70a,70b} D. Zuolo,^{70a,70b} S. Buontempo,^{71a} N. Cavallo,^{71a,71c} A. De Iorio,^{71a,71b} A. Di Crescenzo,^{71a,71b} F. Fabozzi,^{71a,71c} F. Fienga,^{71a} G. Galati,^{71a} A. O. M. Iorio,^{71a,71b} W. A. Khan,^{71a} L. Lista,^{71a} S. Meola,^{71a,71d,r} P. Paolucci,^{71a,r} C. Sciacca,^{71a,71b} E. Voevodina,^{71a,71b} P. Azzi,^{72a} N. Bacchetta,^{72a} D. Bisello,^{72a,72b} A. Boletti,^{72a,72b} A. Bragagnolo,^{72a} R. Carlin,^{72a,72b} P. Checchia,^{72a} M. Dall'Osso,^{72a,72b} P. De Castro Manzano,^{72a} T. Dorigo,^{72a} U. Dosselli,^{72a} F. Gasparini,^{72a,72b} U. Gasparini,^{72a,72b} A. Gozzelino,^{72a} S. Y. Hoh,^{72a} S. Lacaprara,^{72a} P. Lujan,^{72a} M. Margoni,^{72a,72b} A. T. Meneguzzo,^{72a,72b} J. Pazzini,^{72a,72b} P. Ronchese,^{72a,72b} R. Rossin,^{72a,72b} F. Simonetto,^{72a,72b} A. Tiko,^{72a} E. Torassa,^{72a} M. Tosi,^{72a,72b} M. Zanetti,^{72a,72b} P. Zotto,^{72a,72b} G. Zumerle,^{72a,72b} A. Braghieri,^{73a} A. Magnani,^{73a} P. Montagna,^{73a,73b} S. P. Ratti,^{73a,73b} V. Re,^{73a} M. Ressegotti,^{73a,73b} C. Riccardi,^{73a,73b} P. Salvini,^{73a} I. Vai,^{73a,73b} P. Vitulo,^{73a,73b} M. Biasini,^{74a,74b} G. M. Bilei,^{74a} C. Cecchi,^{74a,74b} D. Ciangottini,^{74a,74b} L. Fanò,^{74a,74b} P. Lariccia,^{74a,74b} R. Leonardi,^{74a,74b} E. Manoni,^{74a} G. Mantovani,^{74a,74b} V. Mariani,^{74a,74b} M. Menichelli,^{74a} A. Rossi,^{74a,74b} A. Santocchia,^{74a,74b} D. Spiga,^{74a} K. Androsov,^{75a} P. Azzurri,^{75a} G. Bagliesi,^{75a} L. Bianchini,^{75a} T. Boccali,^{75a} L. Borrello,^{75a} R. Castaldi,^{75a} M. A. Ciocci,^{75a,75b} R. Dell'Orso,^{75a} G. Fedi,^{75a} F. Fiori,^{75a,75c} L. Giannini,^{75a,75c} A. Giassi,^{75a} M. T. Grippo,^{75a} F. Ligabue,^{75a,75c} E. Manca,^{75a,75c} G. Mandorli,^{75a,75c} A. Messineo,^{75a,75b} F. Palla,^{75a} A. Rizzi,^{75a,75b} G. Rolandi,^{75a,ff} P. Spagnolo,^{75a} R. Tenchini,^{75a} G. Tonelli,^{75a,75b} A. Venturi,^{75a} P. G. Verdini,^{75a} L. Barone,^{76a,76b} F. Cavallari,^{76a} M. Cipriani,^{76a,76b} D. Del Re,^{76a,76b} E. Di Marco,^{76a,76b} M. Diemmoz,^{76a} S. Gelli,^{76a,76b} E. Longo,^{76a,76b} B. Marzocchi,^{76a,76b} P. Meridiani,^{76a} G. Organtini,^{76a,76b} F. Pandolfi,^{76a} R. Paramatti,^{76a,76b} F. Preiato,^{76a,76b} S. Rahatlou,^{76a,76b} C. Rovelli,^{76a} F. Santanastasio,^{76a,76b} N. Amapane,^{77a,77b} R. Arcidiacono,^{77a,77c} S. Argiro,^{77a,77b} M. Arneodo,^{77a,77c} N. Bartosik,^{77a} R. Bellan,^{77a,77b} C. Biino,^{77a} A. Cappati,^{77a,77b} N. Cartiglia,^{77a} F. Cenna,^{77a,77b} S. Cometti,^{77a} M. Costa,^{77a,77b} R. Covarelli,^{77a,77b} N. Demaria,^{77a} B. Kiani,^{77a,77b} C. Mariotti,^{77a} S. Maselli,^{77a} E. Migliore,^{77a,77b} V. Monaco,^{77a,77b} E. Monteil,^{77a,77b} M. Monteno,^{77a} M. M. Obertino,^{77a,77b} L. Pacher,^{77a,77b} N. Pastrone,^{77a} M. Pelliccioni,^{77a} G. L. Pinna Angioni,^{77a,77b} A. Romero,^{77a,77b} M. Ruspa,^{77a,77c} R. Sacchi,^{77a,77b} R. Salvatico,^{77a,77b} K. Shchelina,^{77a,77b} V. Sola,^{77a} A. Solano,^{77a,77b} D. Soldi,^{77a,77b} A. Staiano,^{77a} S. Belforte,^{78a} V. Candelise,^{78a,78b}

M. Casarsa,^{78a} F. Cossutti,^{78a} A. Da Rold,^{78a,78b} G. Della Ricca,^{78a,78b} F. Vazzoler,^{78a,78b} A. Zanetti,^{78a} D. H. Kim,⁷⁹ G. N. Kim,⁷⁹ M. S. Kim,⁷⁹ J. Lee,⁷⁹ S. Lee,⁷⁹ S. W. Lee,⁷⁹ C. S. Moon,⁷⁹ Y. D. Oh,⁷⁹ S. I. Pak,⁷⁹ S. Sekmen,⁷⁹ D. C. Son,⁷⁹ Y. C. Yang,⁷⁹ H. Kim,⁸⁰ D. H. Moon,⁸⁰ G. Oh,⁸⁰ B. Francois,⁸¹ J. Goh,^{81,gg} T. J. Kim,⁸¹ S. Cho,⁸² S. Choi,⁸² Y. Go,⁸² D. Gyun,⁸² S. Ha,⁸² B. Hong,⁸² Y. Jo,⁸² K. Lee,⁸² K. S. Lee,⁸² S. Lee,⁸² J. Lim,⁸² S. K. Park,⁸² Y. Roh,⁸² H. S. Kim,⁸³ J. Almond,⁸⁴ J. Kim,⁸⁴ J. S. Kim,⁸⁴ H. Lee,⁸⁴ K. Lee,⁸⁴ K. Nam,⁸⁴ S. B. Oh,⁸⁴ B. C. Radburn-Smith,⁸⁴ S. h. Seo,⁸⁴ U. K. Yang,⁸⁴ H. D. Yoo,⁸⁴ G. B. Yu,⁸⁴ D. Jeon,⁸⁵ H. Kim,⁸⁵ J. H. Kim,⁸⁵ J. S. H. Lee,⁸⁵ I. C. Park,⁸⁵ Y. Choi,⁸⁶ C. Hwang,⁸⁶ J. Lee,⁸⁶ I. Yu,⁸⁶ V. Dudenias,⁸⁷ A. Juodagalvis,⁸⁷ J. Vaitkus,⁸⁷ I. Ahmed,⁸⁸ Z. A. Ibrahim,⁸⁸ M. A. B. Md Ali,^{88,hh} F. Mohamad Idris,^{88,ii} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah,⁸⁸ M. N. Yusli,⁸⁸ Z. Zolkapli,⁸⁸ J. F. Benitez,⁸⁹ A. Castaneda Hernandez,⁸⁹ J. A. Murillo Quijada,⁸⁹ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁹⁰ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁹⁰ M. C. Duran-Osuna,⁹⁰ I. Heredia-De La Cruz,^{90,jj} R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁹⁰ J. Mejia Guisao,⁹⁰ R. I. Rabadan-Trejo,⁹⁰ M. Ramirez-Garcia,⁹⁰ G. Ramirez-Sanchez,⁹⁰ R. Reyes-Almanza,⁹⁰ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁹⁰ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁹¹ C. Oropeza Barrera,⁹¹ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁹¹ J. Eysermans,⁹² I. Pedraza,⁹² H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁹² C. Uribe Estrada,⁹² A. Morelos Pineda,⁹³ D. Krofcheck,⁹⁴ S. Bheesette,⁹⁵ P. H. Butler,⁹⁵ A. Ahmad,⁹⁶ M. Ahmad,⁹⁶ M. I. Asghar,⁹⁶ Q. Hassan,⁹⁶ H. R. Hoorani,⁹⁶ A. Saddique,⁹⁶ M. A. Shah,⁹⁶ M. Shoaib,⁹⁶ M. Waqas,⁹⁶ H. Bialkowska,⁹⁷ M. Bluj,⁹⁷ B. Boimska,⁹⁷ T. Frueboes,⁹⁷ M. Górski,⁹⁷ M. Kazana,⁹⁷ M. Szleper,⁹⁷ P. Traczyk,⁹⁷ P. Zalewski,⁹⁷ K. Bunkowski,⁹⁸ A. Byszk,^{98,kk} K. Doroba,⁹⁸ A. Kalinowski,⁹⁸ M. Konecki,⁹⁸ J. Krolikowski,⁹⁸ M. Misiura,⁹⁸ M. Olszewski,⁹⁸ A. Pyskir,⁹⁸ M. Walczak,⁹⁸ M. Araujo,⁹⁹ P. Bargassa,⁹⁹ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁹⁹ A. Di Francesco,⁹⁹ P. Faccioli,⁹⁹ B. Galinhas,⁹⁹ M. Gallinaro,⁹⁹ J. Hollar,⁹⁹ N. Leonardo,⁹⁹ J. Seixas,⁹⁹ G. Strong,⁹⁹ O. Toldaiev,⁹⁹ J. Varela,⁹⁹ S. Afanasiev,¹⁰⁰ P. Bunin,¹⁰⁰ M. Gavrilenko,¹⁰⁰ I. Golutvin,¹⁰⁰ I. Gorbunov,¹⁰⁰ A. Kamenev,¹⁰⁰ V. Karjavine,¹⁰⁰ A. Lanev,¹⁰⁰ A. Malakhov,¹⁰⁰ V. Matveev,^{100,ll,mm} P. Moisenz,¹⁰⁰ V. Palichik,¹⁰⁰ V. Perelygin,¹⁰⁰ S. Shmatov,¹⁰⁰ S. Shulha,¹⁰⁰ N. Skatchkov,¹⁰⁰ V. Smirnov,¹⁰⁰ N. Voytishin,¹⁰⁰ A. Zarubin,¹⁰⁰ V. Golovtsov,¹⁰¹ Y. Ivanov,¹⁰¹ V. Kim,^{101,nn} E. Kuznetsova,^{101,oo} P. Levchenko,¹⁰¹ V. Murzin,¹⁰¹ V. Oreshkin,¹⁰¹ I. Smirnov,¹⁰¹ D. Sosnov,¹⁰¹ V. Sulimov,¹⁰¹ L. Uvarov,¹⁰¹ S. Vasilov,¹⁰¹ A. Vorobyev,¹⁰¹ Yu. Andreev,¹⁰² A. Dermenev,¹⁰² S. Gninenko,¹⁰² N. Golubev,¹⁰² A. Karneyeu,¹⁰² M. Kirsanov,¹⁰² N. Krasnikov,¹⁰² A. Pashenkov,¹⁰² D. Tlisov,¹⁰² A. Toropin,¹⁰² V. Epshteyn,¹⁰³ V. Gavrilov,¹⁰³ N. Lychkovskaya,¹⁰³ V. Popov,¹⁰³ I. Pozdnyakov,¹⁰³ G. Safronov,¹⁰³ A. Spiridonov,¹⁰³ A. Stepanov,¹⁰³ V. Stolin,¹⁰³ M. Toms,¹⁰³ E. Vlasov,¹⁰³ A. Zhokin,¹⁰³ T. Aushev,¹⁰⁴ M. Chadeeva,^{105,pp} P. Parygin,¹⁰⁵ D. Philippov,¹⁰⁵ S. Polikarpov,^{105,pp} E. Popova,¹⁰⁵ V. Rusinov,¹⁰⁵ V. Andreev,¹⁰⁶ M. Azarkin,¹⁰⁶ I. Dremin,^{106,mm} M. Kirakosyan,¹⁰⁶ A. Terkulov,¹⁰⁶ A. Baskakov,¹⁰⁷ A. Belyaev,¹⁰⁷ E. Boos,¹⁰⁷ V. Bunichev,¹⁰⁷ M. Dubinin,^{107,qq} L. Dudko,¹⁰⁷ A. Gribushin,¹⁰⁷ V. Klyukhin,¹⁰⁷ O. Kodolova,¹⁰⁷ I. Lokhtin,¹⁰⁷ I. Miagkov,¹⁰⁷ S. Obraztsov,¹⁰⁷ S. Petrushanko,¹⁰⁷ V. Savrin,¹⁰⁷ A. Snigirev,¹⁰⁷ A. Barnyakov,^{108,rr} V. Blinov,^{108,rr} T. Dimova,^{108,rr} L. Kardapoltsev,^{108,rr} Y. Skovpen,^{108,rr} I. Azhgirey,¹⁰⁹ I. Bayshev,¹⁰⁹ S. Bitioukov,¹⁰⁹ D. Elumakhov,¹⁰⁹ A. Godizov,¹⁰⁹ V. Kachanov,¹⁰⁹ A. Kalinin,¹⁰⁹ D. Konstantinov,¹⁰⁹ P. Mandrik,¹⁰⁹ V. Petrov,¹⁰⁹ R. Ryutin,¹⁰⁹ S. Slabospitskii,¹⁰⁹ A. Sobol,¹⁰⁹ S. Troshin,¹⁰⁹ N. Tyurin,¹⁰⁹ A. Uzunian,¹⁰⁹ A. Volkov,¹⁰⁹ A. Babaev,¹¹⁰ S. Baidali,¹¹⁰ V. Okhotnikov,¹¹⁰ P. Adzic,^{111,ss} P. Cirkovic,¹¹¹ D. Devetak,¹¹¹ M. Dordevic,¹¹¹ J. Milosevic,¹¹¹ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹¹² A. Álvarez Fernández,¹¹² I. Bachiller,¹¹² M. Barrio Luna,¹¹² J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,¹¹² M. Cerrada,¹¹² N. Colino,¹¹² B. De La Cruz,¹¹² A. Delgado Peris,¹¹² C. Fernandez Bedoya,¹¹² J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹¹² J. Flix,¹¹² M. C. Fouz,¹¹² O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹¹² S. Goy Lopez,¹¹² J. M. Hernandez,¹¹² M. I. Josa,¹¹² D. Moran,¹¹² A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹¹² J. Puerta Pelayo,¹¹² I. Redondo,¹¹² L. Romero,¹¹² M. S. Soares,¹¹² A. Triossi,¹¹² C. Albajar,¹¹³ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹¹³ J. Cuevas,¹¹⁴ C. Erice,¹¹⁴ J. Fernandez Menendez,¹¹⁴ S. Folgueras,¹¹⁴ I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹¹⁴ J. R. González Fernández,¹¹⁴ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹¹⁴ V. Rodríguez Bouza,¹¹⁴ S. Sanchez Cruz,¹¹⁴ P. Vischia,¹¹⁴ J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹¹⁴ I. J. Cabrillo,¹¹⁵ A. Calderon,¹¹⁵ B. Chazin Quero,¹¹⁵ J. Duarte Campderros,¹¹⁵ M. Fernandez,¹¹⁵ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹¹⁵ A. García Alonso,¹¹⁵ J. Garcia-Ferrero,¹¹⁵ G. Gomez,¹¹⁵ A. Lopez Virto,¹¹⁵ J. Marco,¹¹⁵ C. Martinez Rivero,¹¹⁵ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,¹¹⁵ F. Matorras,¹¹⁵ J. Piedra Gomez,¹¹⁵ C. Prieels,¹¹⁵ T. Rodrigo,¹¹⁵ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,¹¹⁵ L. Scodellaro,¹¹⁵ N. Trevisani,¹¹⁵ I. Vila,¹¹⁵ R. Vilar Cortabitarte,¹¹⁵ N. Wickramage,¹¹⁶ D. Abbaneo,¹¹⁷ B. Akgun,¹¹⁷ E. Auffray,¹¹⁷ G. Auzinger,¹¹⁷ P. Baillon,¹¹⁷ A. H. Ball,¹¹⁷ D. Barney,¹¹⁷ J. Bendavid,¹¹⁷ M. Bianco,¹¹⁷ A. Bocci,¹¹⁷ C. Botta,¹¹⁷ E. Brondolin,¹¹⁷ T. Camporesi,¹¹⁷ M. Cepeda,¹¹⁷ G. Cerminara,¹¹⁷ E. Chapon,¹¹⁷ Y. Chen,¹¹⁷ G. Cucciati,¹¹⁷ D. d'Enterria,¹¹⁷ A. Dabrowski,¹¹⁷ N. Daci,¹¹⁷ V. Daponte,¹¹⁷ A. David,¹¹⁷ A. De Roeck,¹¹⁷ N. Deelen,¹¹⁷ M. Dobson,¹¹⁷ M. Dünser,¹¹⁷ N. Dupont,¹¹⁷ A. Elliott-Peisert,¹¹⁷ P. Everaerts,¹¹⁷ F. Fallavollita,^{117,tt} D. Fasanella,¹¹⁷ G. Franzoni,¹¹⁷ J. Fulcher,¹¹⁷ W. Funk,¹¹⁷ D. Gigi,¹¹⁷ A. Gilbert,¹¹⁷ K. Gill,¹¹⁷ F. Glege,¹¹⁷ M. Gruchala,¹¹⁷ M. Guillaud,¹¹⁷ D. Gulhan,¹¹⁷ J. Hegeman,¹¹⁷ C. Heidegger,¹¹⁷ V. Innocente,¹¹⁷ A. Jafari,¹¹⁷ P. Janot,¹¹⁷ O. Karacheban,^{117,uu} J. Kieseler,¹¹⁷ A. Kornmayer,¹¹⁷

M. Krammer,^{117,b} C. Lange,¹¹⁷ P. Lecoq,¹¹⁷ C. Lourenço,¹¹⁷ L. Malgeri,¹¹⁷ M. Mannelli,¹¹⁷ A. Massironi,¹¹⁷ F. Meijers,¹¹⁷ J. A. Merlin,¹¹⁷ S. Mersi,¹¹⁷ E. Meschi,¹¹⁷ P. Milenovic,^{117,uu} F. Moortgat,¹¹⁷ M. Mulders,¹¹⁷ J. Ngadiuba,¹¹⁷ S. Nourbakhsh,¹¹⁷ S. Orfanelli,¹¹⁷ L. Orsini,¹¹⁷ F. Pantaleo,^{117,r} L. Pape,¹¹⁷ E. Perez,¹¹⁷ M. Peruzzi,¹¹⁷ A. Petrilli,¹¹⁷ G. Petrucciani,¹¹⁷ A. Pfeiffer,¹¹⁷ M. Pierini,¹¹⁷ F. M. Pitters,¹¹⁷ D. Rabady,¹¹⁷ A. Racz,¹¹⁷ T. Reis,¹¹⁷ M. Rovere,¹¹⁷ H. Sakulin,¹¹⁷ C. Schäfer,¹¹⁷ C. Schwick,¹¹⁷ M. Selvaggi,¹¹⁷ A. Sharma,¹¹⁷ P. Silva,¹¹⁷ P. Sphicas,^{117,vv} A. Stakia,¹¹⁷ J. Steggemann,¹¹⁷ D. Treille,¹¹⁷ A. Tsiros,¹¹⁷ V. Veckalns,^{117,ww} M. Verzetti,¹¹⁷ W. D. Zeuner,¹¹⁷ L. Caminada,^{118,xx} K. Deiters,¹¹⁸ W. Erdmann,¹¹⁸ R. Horisberger,¹¹⁸ Q. Ingram,¹¹⁸ H. C. Kaestli,¹¹⁸ D. Kotlinski,¹¹⁸ U. Langenegger,¹¹⁸ T. Rohe,¹¹⁸ S. A. Wiederkehr,¹¹⁸ M. Backhaus,¹¹⁹ L. Bäni,¹¹⁹ P. Berger,¹¹⁹ N. Chernyavskaya,¹¹⁹ G. Dissertori,¹¹⁹ M. Dittmar,¹¹⁹ M. Donegà,¹¹⁹ C. Dorfer,¹¹⁹ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹¹⁹ C. Grab,¹¹⁹ D. Hits,¹¹⁹ T. Klijnsma,¹¹⁹ W. Lustermann,¹¹⁹ R. A. Manzoni,¹¹⁹ M. Marionneau,¹¹⁹ M. T. Meinhard,¹¹⁹ F. Micheli,¹¹⁹ P. Musella,¹¹⁹ F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹¹⁹ J. Pata,¹¹⁹ F. Pauss,¹¹⁹ G. Perrin,¹¹⁹ L. Perrozzi,¹¹⁹ S. Pigazzini,¹¹⁹ M. Quittnat,¹¹⁹ C. Reissel,¹¹⁹ D. Ruini,¹¹⁹ D. A. Sanz Becerra,¹¹⁹ M. Schönenberger,¹¹⁹ L. Shchutska,¹¹⁹ V. R. Tavolaro,¹¹⁹ K. Theofilatos,¹¹⁹ M. L. Vesterbacka Olsson,¹¹⁹ R. Wallny,¹¹⁹ D. H. Zhu,¹¹⁹ T. K. Aarrestad,¹²⁰ C. Amsler,^{120,yy} D. Brzdechko,¹²⁰ M. F. Canelli,¹²⁰ A. De Cosa,¹²⁰ R. Del Burgo,¹²⁰ S. Donato,¹²⁰ C. Galloni,¹²⁰ T. Hreus,¹²⁰ B. Kilminster,¹²⁰ S. Leontsinis,¹²⁰ I. Neutelings,¹²⁰ G. Rauco,¹²⁰ P. Robmann,¹²⁰ D. Salerno,¹²⁰ K. Schweiger,¹²⁰ C. Seitz,¹²⁰ Y. Takahashi,¹²⁰ A. Zucchetta,¹²⁰ T. H. Doan,¹²¹ R. Khurana,¹²¹ C. M. Kuo,¹²¹ W. Lin,¹²¹ A. Pozdnyakov,¹²¹ S. S. Yu,¹²¹ P. Chang,¹²² Y. Chao,¹²² K. F. Chen,¹²² P. H. Chen,¹²² W.-S. Hou,¹²² Arun Kumar,¹²² Y. F. Liu,¹²² R.-S. Lu,¹²² E. Paganis,¹²² A. Psallidas,¹²² A. Steen,¹²² B. Asavapibhop,¹²³ N. Srimanobhas,¹²³ N. Suwonjandee,¹²³ M. N. Bakirci,^{124,zz} A. Bat,¹²⁴ F. Boran,¹²⁴ S. Damarasekin,¹²⁴ Z. S. Demiroglu,¹²⁴ F. Dolek,¹²⁴ C. Dozen,¹²⁴ E. Eskut,¹²⁴ S. Girgis,¹²⁴ G. Gokbulut,¹²⁴ Y. Guler,¹²⁴ E. Gurpinar,¹²⁴ I. Hos,^{124,aaa} C. Isik,¹²⁴ E. E. Kangal,^{124,bbb} O. Kara,¹²⁴ U. Kiminsu,¹²⁴ M. Oglakci,¹²⁴ G. Onengut,¹²⁴ K. Ozdemir,^{124,ccc} S. Ozturk,^{124,zz} D. Sunar Cerci,^{124,ddd} B. Tali,^{124,ddd} U. G. Tok,¹²⁴ H. Topakli,^{124,zz} S. Turkcapar,¹²⁴ I. S. Zorbakir,¹²⁴ C. Zorbilmez,¹²⁴ B. Isildak,^{125,eee} G. Karapinar,^{125,fff} M. Yalvac,¹²⁵ M. Zeyrek,¹²⁵ I. O. Atakisi,¹²⁶ E. Gülmez,¹²⁶ M. Kaya,^{126,ggg} O. Kaya,^{126,hhh} S. Ozkorucuklu,^{126,iii} S. Tekten,¹²⁶ E. A. Yetkin,^{126,jjj} M. N. Agaras,¹²⁷ A. Cakir,¹²⁷ K. Cankocak,¹²⁷ Y. Komurcu,¹²⁷ S. Sen,^{127,kkk} B. Grynyov,¹²⁸ L. Levchuk,¹²⁹ F. Ball,¹³⁰ J. J. Brooke,¹³⁰ D. Burns,¹³⁰ E. Clement,¹³⁰ D. Cussans,¹³⁰ O. Davignon,¹³⁰ H. Flacher,¹³⁰ J. Goldstein,¹³⁰ G. P. Heath,¹³⁰ H. F. Heath,¹³⁰ L. Kreczko,¹³⁰ D. M. Newbold,^{130,lll} S. Paramesvaran,¹³⁰ B. Penning,¹³⁰ T. Sakuma,¹³⁰ D. Smith,¹³⁰ V. J. Smith,¹³⁰ J. Taylor,¹³⁰ A. Titterton,¹³⁰ K. W. Bell,¹³¹ A. Belyaev,^{131,mmm} C. Brew,¹³¹ R. M. Brown,¹³¹ D. Cieri,¹³¹ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹³¹ J. A. Coughlan,¹³¹ K. Harder,¹³¹ S. Harper,¹³¹ J. Linacre,¹³¹ K. Manolopoulos,¹³¹ E. Olaiya,¹³¹ D. Petyt,¹³¹ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹³¹ A. Thea,¹³¹ I. R. Tomalin,¹³¹ T. Williams,¹³¹ W. J. Womersley,¹³¹ R. Bainbridge,¹³² P. Bloch,¹³² J. Borg,¹³² S. Breeze,¹³² O. Buchmuller,¹³² A. Bundock,¹³² D. Colling,¹³² P. Dauncey,¹³² G. Davies,¹³² M. Della Negra,¹³² R. Di Maria,¹³² G. Hall,¹³² G. Iles,¹³² T. James,¹³² M. Komm,¹³² C. Laner,¹³² L. Lyons,¹³² A.-M. Magnan,¹³² S. Malik,¹³² A. Martelli,¹³² J. Nash,^{132,nnn} A. Nikitenko,^{132,h} V. Palladino,¹³² M. Pesaresi,¹³² D. M. Raymond,¹³² A. Richards,¹³² A. Rose,¹³² E. Scott,¹³² C. Seez,¹³² A. Shtipliyski,¹³² G. Singh,¹³² M. Stoye,¹³² T. Strebler,¹³² S. Summers,¹³² A. Tapper,¹³² K. Uchida,¹³² T. Virdee,^{132,r} N. Wardle,¹³² D. Winterbottom,¹³² J. Wright,¹³² S. C. Zenz,¹³² J. E. Cole,¹³³ P. R. Hobson,¹³³ A. Khan,¹³³ P. Kyberd,¹³³ C. K. Mackay,¹³³ A. Morton,¹³³ I. D. Reid,¹³³ L. Teodorescu,¹³³ S. Zahid,¹³³ K. Call,¹³⁴ J. Dittmann,¹³⁴ K. Hatakeyama,¹³⁴ H. Liu,¹³⁴ C. Madrid,¹³⁴ B. McMaster,¹³⁴ N. Pastika,¹³⁴ C. Smith,¹³⁴ R. Bartek,¹³⁵ A. Dominguez,¹³⁵ A. Buccilli,¹³⁶ S. I. Cooper,¹³⁶ C. Henderson,¹³⁶ P. Rumerio,¹³⁶ C. West,¹³⁶ D. Arcaro,¹³⁷ T. Bose,¹³⁷ D. Gastler,¹³⁷ D. Pinna,¹³⁷ D. Rankin,¹³⁷ C. Richardson,¹³⁷ J. Rohlf,¹³⁷ L. Sulak,¹³⁷ D. Zou,¹³⁷ G. Benelli,¹³⁸ X. Coubez,¹³⁸ D. Cutts,¹³⁸ M. Hadley,¹³⁸ J. Hakala,¹³⁸ U. Heintz,¹³⁸ J. M. Hogan,^{138,ooo} K. H. M. Kwok,¹³⁸ E. Laird,¹³⁸ G. Landsberg,¹³⁸ J. Lee,¹³⁸ Z. Mao,¹³⁸ M. Narain,¹³⁸ S. Sagir,^{138,ppp} R. Syarif,¹³⁸ E. Usai,¹³⁸ D. Yu,¹³⁸ R. Band,¹³⁹ C. Brainerd,¹³⁹ R. Breedon,¹³⁹ D. Burns,¹³⁹ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,¹³⁹ M. Chertok,¹³⁹ J. Conway,¹³⁹ R. Conway,¹³⁹ P. T. Cox,¹³⁹ R. Erbacher,¹³⁹ C. Flores,¹³⁹ G. Funk,¹³⁹ W. Ko,¹³⁹ O. Kukral,¹³⁹ R. Lander,¹³⁹ M. Mulhearn,¹³⁹ D. Pellett,¹³⁹ J. Pilot,¹³⁹ S. Shalhout,¹³⁹ M. Shi,¹³⁹ D. Stolp,¹³⁹ D. Taylor,¹³⁹ K. Tos,¹³⁹ M. Tripathi,¹³⁹ Z. Wang,¹³⁹ F. Zhang,¹³⁹ M. Bachtis,¹⁴⁰ C. Bravo,¹⁴⁰ R. Cousins,¹⁴⁰ A. Dasgupta,¹⁴⁰ A. Florent,¹⁴⁰ J. Hauser,¹⁴⁰ M. Ignatenko,¹⁴⁰ N. Mccoll,¹⁴⁰ S. Regnard,¹⁴⁰ D. Saltzberg,¹⁴⁰ C. Schnaible,¹⁴⁰ V. Valuev,¹⁴⁰ E. Bouvier,¹⁴¹ K. Burt,¹⁴¹ R. Clare,¹⁴¹ J. W. Gary,¹⁴¹ S. M. A. Ghiasi Shirazi,¹⁴¹ G. Hanson,¹⁴¹ G. Karapostoli,¹⁴¹ E. Kennedy,¹⁴¹ F. Lacroix,¹⁴¹ O. R. Long,¹⁴¹ M. Olmedo Negrete,¹⁴¹ M. I. Paneva,¹⁴¹ W. Si,¹⁴¹ L. Wang,¹⁴¹ H. Wei,¹⁴¹ S. Wimpenny,¹⁴¹ B. R. Yates,¹⁴¹ J. G. Branson,¹⁴² P. Chang,¹⁴² S. Cittolin,¹⁴² M. Derdzinski,¹⁴² R. Gerosa,¹⁴² D. Gilbert,¹⁴² B. Hashemi,¹⁴² A. Holzner,¹⁴² D. Klein,¹⁴² G. Kole,¹⁴² V. Krutelyov,¹⁴² J. Letts,¹⁴² M. Masciovecchio,¹⁴² D. Olivito,¹⁴² S. Padhi,¹⁴² M. Pieri,¹⁴² M. Sani,¹⁴²

V. Sharma,¹⁴² S. Simon,¹⁴² M. Tadel,¹⁴² A. Vartak,¹⁴² S. Wasserbaech,^{142,qqq} J. Wood,¹⁴² F. Würthwein,¹⁴² A. Yagil,¹⁴² G. Zevi Della Porta,¹⁴² N. Amin,¹⁴³ R. Bhandari,¹⁴³ C. Campagnari,¹⁴³ M. Citron,¹⁴³ V. Dutta,¹⁴³ M. Franco Sevilla,¹⁴³ L. Gouskos,¹⁴³ R. Heller,¹⁴³ J. Incandela,¹⁴³ A. Ovcharova,¹⁴³ H. Qu,¹⁴³ J. Richman,¹⁴³ D. Stuart,¹⁴³ I. Suarez,¹⁴³ S. Wang,¹⁴³ J. Yoo,¹⁴³ D. Anderson,¹⁴⁴ A. Bornheim,¹⁴⁴ J. M. Lawhorn,¹⁴⁴ N. Lu,¹⁴⁴ H. B. Newman,¹⁴⁴ T. Q. Nguyen,¹⁴⁴ M. Spiropulu,¹⁴⁴ J. R. Vlimant,¹⁴⁴ R. Wilkinson,¹⁴⁴ S. Xie,¹⁴⁴ Z. Zhang,¹⁴⁴ R. Y. Zhu,¹⁴⁴ M. B. Andrews,¹⁴⁵ T. Ferguson,¹⁴⁵ T. Mudholkar,¹⁴⁵ M. Paulini,¹⁴⁵ M. Sun,¹⁴⁵ I. Vorobiev,¹⁴⁵ M. Weinberg,¹⁴⁵ J. P. Cumalat,¹⁴⁶ W. T. Ford,¹⁴⁶ F. Jensen,¹⁴⁶ A. Johnson,¹⁴⁶ E. MacDonald,¹⁴⁶ T. Mulholland,¹⁴⁶ R. Patel,¹⁴⁶ A. Perloff,¹⁴⁶ K. Stenson,¹⁴⁶ K. A. Ulmer,¹⁴⁶ S. R. Wagner,¹⁴⁶ J. Alexander,¹⁴⁷ J. Chaves,¹⁴⁷ Y. Cheng,¹⁴⁷ J. Chu,¹⁴⁷ A. Datta,¹⁴⁷ K. McDermott,¹⁴⁷ N. Mirman,¹⁴⁷ J. R. Patterson,¹⁴⁷ D. Quach,¹⁴⁷ A. Rinkevicius,¹⁴⁷ A. Ryd,¹⁴⁷ L. Skinnari,¹⁴⁷ L. Soffi,¹⁴⁷ S. M. Tan,¹⁴⁷ Z. Tao,¹⁴⁷ J. Thom,¹⁴⁷ J. Tucker,¹⁴⁷ P. Wittich,¹⁴⁷ M. Zientek,¹⁴⁷ S. Abdullin,¹⁴⁸ M. Albrow,¹⁴⁸ M. Alyari,¹⁴⁸ G. Apollinari,¹⁴⁸ A. Apresyan,¹⁴⁸ A. Apyan,¹⁴⁸ S. Banerjee,¹⁴⁸ L. A. T. Bauerdick,¹⁴⁸ A. Beretvas,¹⁴⁸ J. Berryhill,¹⁴⁸ P. C. Bhat,¹⁴⁸ K. Burkett,¹⁴⁸ J. N. Butler,¹⁴⁸ A. Canepa,¹⁴⁸ G. B. Cerati,¹⁴⁸ H. W. K. Cheung,¹⁴⁸ F. Chlebana,¹⁴⁸ M. Cremonesi,¹⁴⁸ J. Duarte,¹⁴⁸ V. D. Elvira,¹⁴⁸ J. Freeman,¹⁴⁸ Z. Gecse,¹⁴⁸ E. Gottschalk,¹⁴⁸ L. Gray,¹⁴⁸ D. Green,¹⁴⁸ S. Grünendahl,¹⁴⁸ O. Gutsche,¹⁴⁸ J. Hanlon,¹⁴⁸ R. M. Harris,¹⁴⁸ S. Hasegawa,¹⁴⁸ J. Hirschauer,¹⁴⁸ Z. Hu,¹⁴⁸ B. Jayatilaka,¹⁴⁸ S. Jindariani,¹⁴⁸ M. Johnson,¹⁴⁸ U. Joshi,¹⁴⁸ B. Klima,¹⁴⁸ M. J. Kortelainen,¹⁴⁸ B. Kreis,¹⁴⁸ S. Lammel,¹⁴⁸ D. Lincoln,¹⁴⁸ R. Lipton,¹⁴⁸ M. Liu,¹⁴⁸ T. Liu,¹⁴⁸ J. Lykken,¹⁴⁸ K. Maeshima,¹⁴⁸ J. M. Marraffino,¹⁴⁸ D. Mason,¹⁴⁸ P. McBride,¹⁴⁸ P. Merkel,¹⁴⁸ S. Mrenna,¹⁴⁸ S. Nahn,¹⁴⁸ V. O'Dell,¹⁴⁸ K. Pedro,¹⁴⁸ C. Pena,¹⁴⁸ O. Prokofyev,¹⁴⁸ G. Rakness,¹⁴⁸ L. Ristori,¹⁴⁸ A. Savoy-Navarro,^{148,rrr} B. Schneider,¹⁴⁸ E. Sexton-Kennedy,¹⁴⁸ A. Soha,¹⁴⁸ W. J. Spalding,¹⁴⁸ L. Spiegel,¹⁴⁸ S. Stoynev,¹⁴⁸ J. Strait,¹⁴⁸ N. Strobbe,¹⁴⁸ L. Taylor,¹⁴⁸ S. Tkaczyk,¹⁴⁸ N. V. Tran,¹⁴⁸ L. Uplegger,¹⁴⁸ E. W. Vaandering,¹⁴⁸ C. Vernieri,¹⁴⁸ M. Verzocchi,¹⁴⁸ R. Vidal,¹⁴⁸ M. Wang,¹⁴⁸ H. A. Weber,¹⁴⁸ A. Whitbeck,¹⁴⁸ D. Acosta,¹⁴⁹ P. Avery,¹⁴⁹ P. Bortignon,¹⁴⁹ D. Bourilkov,¹⁴⁹ A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁹ L. Cadamuro,¹⁴⁹ A. Carnes,¹⁴⁹ D. Curry,¹⁴⁹ R. D. Field,¹⁴⁹ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁹ B. M. Joshi,¹⁴⁹ J. Konigsberg,¹⁴⁹ A. Korytov,¹⁴⁹ K. H. Lo,¹⁴⁹ P. Ma,¹⁴⁹ K. Matchev,¹⁴⁹ H. Mei,¹⁴⁹ G. Mitselmakher,¹⁴⁹ D. Rosenzweig,¹⁴⁹ K. Shi,¹⁴⁹ D. Sperka,¹⁴⁹ J. Wang,¹⁴⁹ S. Wang,¹⁴⁹ X. Zuo,¹⁴⁹ Y. R. Joshi,¹⁵⁰ S. Linn,¹⁵⁰ A. Ackert,¹⁵¹ T. Adams,¹⁵¹ A. Askew,¹⁵¹ S. Hagopian,¹⁵¹ V. Hagopian,¹⁵¹ K. F. Johnson,¹⁵¹ T. Kolberg,¹⁵¹ G. Martinez,¹⁵¹ T. Perry,¹⁵¹ H. Prosper,¹⁵¹ A. Saha,¹⁵¹ C. Schiber,¹⁵¹ R. Yohay,¹⁵¹ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁵² V. Bhopatkar,¹⁵² S. Colafranceschi,¹⁵² M. Hohmann,¹⁵² D. Noonan,¹⁵² M. Rahmani,¹⁵² T. Roy,¹⁵² F. Yumiceva,¹⁵² M. R. Adams,¹⁵³ L. Apanasevich,¹⁵³ D. Berry,¹⁵³ R. R. Betts,¹⁵³ R. Cavanaugh,¹⁵³ X. Chen,¹⁵³ S. Dittmer,¹⁵³ O. Evdokimov,¹⁵³ C. E. Gerber,¹⁵³ D. A. Hangal,¹⁵³ D. J. Hofman,¹⁵³ K. Jung,¹⁵³ J. Kamin,¹⁵³ C. Mills,¹⁵³ M. B. Tonjes,¹⁵³ N. Varelas,¹⁵³ H. Wang,¹⁵³ X. Wang,¹⁵³ Z. Wu,¹⁵³ J. Zhang,¹⁵³ M. Alhusseini,¹⁵⁴ B. Bilki,^{154,sss} W. Clarida,¹⁵⁴ K. Dilsiz,^{154,ttt} S. Durgut,¹⁵⁴ R. P. Gandrajula,¹⁵⁴ M. Haytmyradov,¹⁵⁴ V. Khristenko,¹⁵⁴ J.-P. Merlo,¹⁵⁴ A. Mestvirishvili,¹⁵⁴ A. Moeller,¹⁵⁴ J. Nachtman,¹⁵⁴ H. Ogul,^{154,uuu} Y. Onel,¹⁵⁴ F. Ozok,^{154,vvv} A. Penzo,¹⁵⁴ C. Snyder,¹⁵⁴ E. Tiras,¹⁵⁴ J. Wetzel,¹⁵⁴ B. Blumenfeld,¹⁵⁵ A. Cocoros,¹⁵⁵ N. Eminizer,¹⁵⁵ D. Fehling,¹⁵⁵ L. Feng,¹⁵⁵ A. V. Gritsan,¹⁵⁵ W. T. Hung,¹⁵⁵ P. Maksimovic,¹⁵⁵ J. Roskes,¹⁵⁵ U. Sarica,¹⁵⁵ M. Swartz,¹⁵⁵ M. Xiao,¹⁵⁵ C. You,¹⁵⁵ A. Al-bataineh,¹⁵⁶ P. Baringer,¹⁵⁶ A. Bean,¹⁵⁶ S. Boren,¹⁵⁶ J. Bowen,¹⁵⁶ A. Bylinkin,¹⁵⁶ J. Castle,¹⁵⁶ S. Khalil,¹⁵⁶ A. Kropivnitskaya,¹⁵⁶ D. Majumder,¹⁵⁶ W. Mcbrayer,¹⁵⁶ M. Murray,¹⁵⁶ C. Rogan,¹⁵⁶ S. Sanders,¹⁵⁶ E. Schmitz,¹⁵⁶ J. D. Tapia Takaki,¹⁵⁶ Q. Wang,¹⁵⁶ S. Duric,¹⁵⁷ A. Ivanov,¹⁵⁷ K. Kaadze,¹⁵⁷ D. Kim,¹⁵⁷ Y. Maravin,¹⁵⁷ D. R. Mendis,¹⁵⁷ T. Mitchell,¹⁵⁷ A. Modak,¹⁵⁷ A. Mohammadi,¹⁵⁷ L. K. Saini,¹⁵⁷ F. Rebassoo,¹⁵⁸ D. Wright,¹⁵⁸ A. Baden,¹⁵⁹ O. Baron,¹⁵⁹ A. Belloni,¹⁵⁹ S. C. Eno,¹⁵⁹ Y. Feng,¹⁵⁹ C. Ferraioli,¹⁵⁹ N. J. Hadley,¹⁵⁹ S. Jabeen,¹⁵⁹ G. Y. Jeng,¹⁵⁹ R. G. Kellogg,¹⁵⁹ J. Kunkle,¹⁵⁹ A. C. Mignerey,¹⁵⁹ S. Nabili,¹⁵⁹ F. Ricci-Tam,¹⁵⁹ M. Seidel,¹⁵⁹ Y. H. Shin,¹⁵⁹ A. Skuja,¹⁵⁹ S. C. Tonwar,¹⁵⁹ K. Wong,¹⁵⁹ D. Abercrombie,¹⁶⁰ B. Allen,¹⁶⁰ V. Azzolini,¹⁶⁰ A. Baty,¹⁶⁰ G. Bauer,¹⁶⁰ R. Bi,¹⁶⁰ S. Brandt,¹⁶⁰ W. Busza,¹⁶⁰ I. A. Cali,¹⁶⁰ M. D'Alfonso,¹⁶⁰ Z. Demiragli,¹⁶⁰ G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁶⁰ M. Goncharov,¹⁶⁰ P. Harris,¹⁶⁰ D. Hsu,¹⁶⁰ M. Hu,¹⁶⁰ Y. Iiyama,¹⁶⁰ G. M. Innocenti,¹⁶⁰ M. Klute,¹⁶⁰ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁶⁰ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁶⁰ P. D. Luckey,¹⁶⁰ B. Maier,¹⁶⁰ A. C. Marini,¹⁶⁰ C. McGinn,¹⁶⁰ C. Mironov,¹⁶⁰ S. Narayanan,¹⁶⁰ X. Niu,¹⁶⁰ C. Paus,¹⁶⁰ C. Roland,¹⁶⁰ G. Roland,¹⁶⁰ Z. Shi,¹⁶⁰ G. S. F. Stephens,¹⁶⁰ K. Sumorok,¹⁶⁰ K. Tatar,¹⁶⁰ D. Velicanu,¹⁶⁰ J. Wang,¹⁶⁰ T. W. Wang,¹⁶⁰ B. Wyslouch,¹⁶⁰ A. C. Benvenuti,^{161,a} R. M. Chatterjee,¹⁶¹ A. Evans,¹⁶¹ P. Hansen,¹⁶¹ J. Hiltbrand,¹⁶¹ Sh. Jain,¹⁶¹ S. Kalafut,¹⁶¹ M. Krohn,¹⁶¹ Y. Kubota,¹⁶¹ Z. Lesko,¹⁶¹ J. Mans,¹⁶¹ N. Ruckstuhl,¹⁶¹ R. Rusack,¹⁶¹ M. A. Wadud,¹⁶¹ J. G. Acosta,¹⁶² S. Oliveros,¹⁶² E. Avdeeva,¹⁶³ K. Bloom,¹⁶³ D. R. Claes,¹⁶³ C. Fangmeier,¹⁶³ F. Golf,¹⁶³ R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁶³ R. Kamalieddin,¹⁶³ I. Kravchenko,¹⁶³ J. Monroy,¹⁶³ J. E. Siado,¹⁶³ G. R. Snow,¹⁶³ B. Stieger,¹⁶³ A. Godshalk,¹⁶⁴ C. Harrington,¹⁶⁴ I. Iashvili,¹⁶⁴ A. Kharchilava,¹⁶⁴ C. Mclean,¹⁶⁴ D. Nguyen,¹⁶⁴ A. Parker,¹⁶⁴ S. Rappoccio,¹⁶⁴ B. Roobahani,¹⁶⁴ G. Alverson,¹⁶⁵ E. Barberis,¹⁶⁵ C. Freer,¹⁶⁵ Y. Haddad,¹⁶⁵ A. Hortiangtham,¹⁶⁵ D. M. Morse,¹⁶⁵ T. Orimoto,¹⁶⁵ R. Teixeira De Lima,¹⁶⁵ T. Wamorkar,¹⁶⁵ B. Wang,¹⁶⁵ A. Wisecarver,¹⁶⁵ D. Wood,¹⁶⁵

S. Bhattacharya,¹⁶⁶ J. Bueghly,¹⁶⁶ O. Charaf,¹⁶⁶ K. A. Hahn,¹⁶⁶ N. Mucia,¹⁶⁶ N. Odell,¹⁶⁶ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁶⁶ K. Sung,¹⁶⁶ M. Trovato,¹⁶⁶ M. Velasco,¹⁶⁶ R. Bucci,¹⁶⁷ N. Dev,¹⁶⁷ M. Hildreth,¹⁶⁷ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁶⁷ C. Jessop,¹⁶⁷ D. J. Karmgard,¹⁶⁷ N. Kellams,¹⁶⁷ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁷ W. Li,¹⁶⁷ N. Loukas,¹⁶⁷ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁷ F. Meng,¹⁶⁷ C. Mueller,¹⁶⁷ Y. Musienko,^{167,11} M. Planer,¹⁶⁷ A. Reinsvold,¹⁶⁷ R. Ruchti,¹⁶⁷ P. Siddireddy,¹⁶⁷ G. Smith,¹⁶⁷ S. Taroni,¹⁶⁷ M. Wayne,¹⁶⁷ A. Wightman,¹⁶⁷ M. Wolf,¹⁶⁷ A. Woodard,¹⁶⁷ J. Alimena,¹⁶⁸ L. Antonelli,¹⁶⁸ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁸ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁸ S. Flowers,¹⁶⁸ B. Francis,¹⁶⁸ C. Hill,¹⁶⁸ W. Ji,¹⁶⁸ T. Y. Ling,¹⁶⁸ W. Luo,¹⁶⁸ B. L. Winer,¹⁶⁸ S. Cooperstein,¹⁶⁹ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁹ J. Hardenbrook,¹⁶⁹ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁹ A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁶⁹ D. Lange,¹⁶⁹ M. T. Lucchini,¹⁶⁹ J. Luo,¹⁶⁹ D. Marlow,¹⁶⁹ K. Mei,¹⁶⁹ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁹ J. Olsen,¹⁶⁹ C. Palmer,¹⁶⁹ P. Piroué,¹⁶⁹ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,¹⁶⁹ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁹ C. Tully,¹⁶⁹ Z. Wang,¹⁶⁹ S. Malik,¹⁷⁰ S. Norberg,¹⁷⁰ A. Barker,¹⁷¹ V. E. Barnes,¹⁷¹ S. Das,¹⁷¹ L. Gutay,¹⁷¹ M. Jones,¹⁷¹ A. W. Jung,¹⁷¹ A. Khatiwada,¹⁷¹ B. Mahakud,¹⁷¹ D. H. Miller,¹⁷¹ N. Neumeister,¹⁷¹ C. C. Peng,¹⁷¹ S. Piperov,¹⁷¹ H. Qiu,¹⁷¹ J. F. Schulte,¹⁷¹ J. Sun,¹⁷¹ F. Wang,¹⁷¹ R. Xiao,¹⁷¹ W. Xie,¹⁷¹ T. Cheng,¹⁷² J. Dolen,¹⁷² N. Parashar,¹⁷² Z. Chen,¹⁷³ K. M. Ecklund,¹⁷³ S. Freed,¹⁷³ F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁷³ M. Kilpatrick,¹⁷³ W. Li,¹⁷³ B. P. Padley,¹⁷³ R. Redjimi,¹⁷³ J. Roberts,¹⁷³ J. Rorie,¹⁷³ W. Shi,¹⁷³ Z. Tu,¹⁷³ A. Zhang,¹⁷³ A. Bodek,¹⁷⁴ P. de Barbaro,¹⁷⁴ R. Demina,¹⁷⁴ Y. t. Duh,¹⁷⁴ J. L. Dulemba,¹⁷⁴ C. Fallon,¹⁷⁴ T. Ferbel,¹⁷⁴ M. Galanti,¹⁷⁴ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷⁴ J. Han,¹⁷⁴ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷⁴ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷⁴ E. Ranken,¹⁷⁴ P. Tan,¹⁷⁴ R. Taus,¹⁷⁴ A. Agapitos,¹⁷⁵ J. P. Chou,¹⁷⁵ Y. Gershtein,¹⁷⁵ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷⁵ A. Hart,¹⁷⁵ M. Heindl,¹⁷⁵ E. Hughes,¹⁷⁵ S. Kaplan,¹⁷⁵ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,¹⁷⁵ S. Kyriacou,¹⁷⁵ A. Lath,¹⁷⁵ R. Montalvo,¹⁷⁵ K. Nash,¹⁷⁵ M. Osherson,¹⁷⁵ H. Saka,¹⁷⁵ S. Salur,¹⁷⁵ S. Schnetzer,¹⁷⁵ D. Sheffield,¹⁷⁵ S. Somalwar,¹⁷⁵ R. Stone,¹⁷⁵ S. Thomas,¹⁷⁵ P. Thomassen,¹⁷⁵ M. Walker,¹⁷⁵ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷⁶ J. Heideman,¹⁷⁶ G. Riley,¹⁷⁶ S. Spanier,¹⁷⁶ O. Bouhali,^{177,www} A. Celik,¹⁷⁷ M. Dalchenko,¹⁷⁷ M. De Mattia,¹⁷⁷ A. Delgado,¹⁷⁷ S. Dildick,¹⁷⁷ R. Eusebi,¹⁷⁷ J. Gilmore,¹⁷⁷ T. Huang,¹⁷⁷ T. Kamon,^{177,xxx} S. Luo,¹⁷⁷ R. Mueller,¹⁷⁷ D. Overton,¹⁷⁷ L. Perniè,¹⁷⁷ D. Rathjens,¹⁷⁷ A. Safonov,¹⁷⁷ N. Akchurin,¹⁷⁸ J. Damgov,¹⁷⁸ F. De Guio,¹⁷⁸ P. R. Duerdo,¹⁷⁸ S. Kunori,¹⁷⁸ K. Lamichhane,¹⁷⁸ S. W. Lee,¹⁷⁸ T. Mengke,¹⁷⁸ S. Muthumuni,¹⁷⁸ T. Peltola,¹⁷⁸ S. Undleeb,¹⁷⁸ I. Volobouev,¹⁷⁸ Z. Wang,¹⁷⁸ S. Greene,¹⁷⁹ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁹ R. Janjam,¹⁷⁹ W. Johns,¹⁷⁹ C. Maguire,¹⁷⁹ A. Melo,¹⁷⁹ H. Ni,¹⁷⁹ K. Padeken,¹⁷⁹ J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,¹⁷⁹ P. Sheldon,¹⁷⁹ S. Tuo,¹⁷⁹ J. Velkovska,¹⁷⁹ M. Verweij,¹⁷⁹ Q. Xu,¹⁷⁹ M. W. Arenton,¹⁸⁰ P. Barria,¹⁸⁰ B. Cox,¹⁸⁰ R. Hirosky,¹⁸⁰ M. Joyce,¹⁸⁰ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁸⁰ H. Li,¹⁸⁰ C. Neu,¹⁸⁰ T. Sinthuprasith,¹⁸⁰ Y. Wang,¹⁸⁰ E. Wolfe,¹⁸⁰ F. Xia,¹⁸⁰ R. Harr,¹⁸¹ P. E. Karchin,¹⁸¹ N. Poudyal,¹⁸¹ J. Sturdy,¹⁸¹ P. Thapa,¹⁸¹ S. Zaleski,¹⁸¹ M. Brodski,¹⁸² J. Buchanan,¹⁸² C. Caillol,¹⁸² D. Carlsmith,¹⁸² S. Dasu,¹⁸² I. De Bruyn,¹⁸² L. Dodd,¹⁸² B. Gomber,¹⁸² M. Grothe,¹⁸² M. Herndon,¹⁸² A. Hervé,¹⁸² U. Hussain,¹⁸² P. Klabbers,¹⁸² A. Lanaro,¹⁸² K. Long,¹⁸² R. Loveless,¹⁸² T. Ruggles,¹⁸² A. Savin,¹⁸² V. Sharma,¹⁸² N. Smith,¹⁸² W. H. Smith,¹⁸² and N. Woods¹⁸²

(CMS Collaboration)

¹*Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*

²*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria*

³*Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus*

⁴*Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*

⁵*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium*

⁶*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*

⁷*Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*

⁸*Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

⁹*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

¹⁰*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

^{11a}*Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

^{11b}*Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

¹²*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*

¹³*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*

¹⁴*Beihang University, Beijing, China*

¹⁵*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*

¹⁶*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*

¹⁷*Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*

¹⁸*Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*

¹⁹*University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*

²⁰*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*

- ²¹*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
²²*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
²³*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
²⁴*Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador*
²⁵*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
²⁶*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
²⁷*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
²⁸*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
²⁹*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
³⁰*Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
³¹*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
³²*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France*
³³*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France*
³⁴*Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁵*Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁶*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁷*Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁸*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
³⁹*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
⁴⁰*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
⁴¹*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴²*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴³*Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany*
⁴⁴*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
⁴⁵*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
⁴⁶*National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
⁴⁷*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
⁴⁸*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*
⁴⁹*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
⁵⁰*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁵¹*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁵²*Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India*
⁵³*National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India*
⁵⁴*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
⁵⁵*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
⁵⁶*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*
⁵⁷*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*
⁵⁸*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
⁵⁹*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*
⁶⁰*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
⁶¹*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
⁶²*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
⁶³*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
^{64a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{64b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{64c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{65a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{65b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{66a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{66b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{67a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
^{67b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
⁶⁸*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
^{69a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
^{69b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*

- ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy
^{70b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy
^{71a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
^{71b}Università di Napoli ‘Federico II’, Napoli, Italy
^{71c}Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
^{71d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy
^{72a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy
^{72b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy
^{72c}Università di Trento, Trento, Italy
^{73a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
^{73b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
^{74a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
^{74b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
^{75a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
^{75b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
^{75c}Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
^{76a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy
^{76b}Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy
^{77a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy
^{77b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
^{77c}Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy
^{78a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
^{78b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
⁷⁹Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
⁸⁰Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea
⁸¹Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea
⁸²Korea University, Seoul, Korea
⁸³Sejong University, Seoul, Korea
⁸⁴Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
⁸⁵University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea
⁸⁶Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
⁸⁷Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
⁸⁸National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
⁸⁹Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico
⁹⁰Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico
⁹¹Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
⁹²Benemerita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
⁹³Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
⁹⁴University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
⁹⁵University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand
⁹⁶National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
⁹⁷National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
⁹⁸Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
⁹⁹Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal
¹⁰⁰Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
¹⁰¹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia
¹⁰²Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰³Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁴Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁵National Research Nuclear University ‘Moscow Engineering Physics Institute’ (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁶P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁷Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁸Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia
¹⁰⁹Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Protvino, Russia
¹¹⁰National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia
¹¹¹University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
¹¹²Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
¹¹³Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
¹¹⁴Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

- ¹¹⁵*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
- ¹¹⁶*University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka*
- ¹¹⁷*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁸*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁹*ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹²⁰*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹²¹*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
- ¹²²*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
- ¹²³*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*
- ¹²⁴*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
- ¹²⁵*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
- ¹²⁶*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁷*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁸*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹²⁹*National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹³⁰*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ¹³¹*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ¹³²*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
- ¹³³*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
- ¹³⁴*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*
- ¹³⁵*Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA*
- ¹³⁶*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA*
- ¹³⁷*Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹³⁸*Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA*
- ¹³⁹*University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁰*University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA*
- ¹⁴¹*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA*
- ¹⁴²*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA*
- ¹⁴³*University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁴*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁵*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*
- ¹⁴⁶*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA*
- ¹⁴⁷*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA*
- ¹⁴⁸*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁴⁹*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵⁰*Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵¹*Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵²*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵³*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁵⁴*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
- ¹⁵⁵*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*
- ¹⁵⁶*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA*
- ¹⁵⁷*Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA*
- ¹⁵⁸*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA*
- ¹⁵⁹*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
- ¹⁶⁰*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹⁶¹*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA*
- ¹⁶²*University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA*
- ¹⁶³*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA*
- ¹⁶⁴*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
- ¹⁶⁵*Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹⁶⁶*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁶⁷*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁶⁸*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*
- ¹⁶⁹*Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*
- ¹⁷⁰*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico*
- ¹⁷¹*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁷²*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁷³*Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA*
- ¹⁷⁴*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*

¹⁷⁵*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA*¹⁷⁶*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*¹⁷⁷*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*¹⁷⁸*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA*¹⁷⁹*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA*¹⁸⁰*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA*¹⁸¹*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*¹⁸²*University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*^aDeceased.^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.^cAlso at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.^dAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.^eAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.^fAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.^gAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.^hAlso at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.ⁱAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.^jAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.^kAlso at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.^lAlso at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.^mAlso at Department of Physics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.ⁿAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.^oAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.^pAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.^qAlso at Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.^rAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.^sAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.^tAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.^uAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.^vAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.^wAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.^xAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.^yAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.^zAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.^{aa}Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.^{bb}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.^{cc}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.^{dd}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.^{ee}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.^{ff}Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.^{gg}Also at Kyunghee University, Seoul, Korea.^{hh}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.ⁱⁱAlso at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.^{jj}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.^{kk}Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.^{ll}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.^{mm}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.ⁿⁿAlso at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.^{oo}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.^{pp}Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.^{qq}Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.^{rr}Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.^{ss}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.^{tt}Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.^{uu}Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.^{vv}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.^{ww}Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.^{xx}Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.^{yy}Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.

- ^{zz} Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{aaa} Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{bbb} Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{ccc} Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ddd} Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{eee} Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{fff} Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{ggg} Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{hhh} Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Also at Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{jjj} Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{kkk} Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ^{lll} Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ^{mmm} Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁿⁿⁿ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{ooo} Also at Bethel University, Saint Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- ^{ppp} Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ^{qqq} Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, Utah, USA.
- ^{rrr} Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.
- ^{sss} Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ttt} Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ^{uuu} Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ^{vvv} Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{www} Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{xxx} Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.